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Introduction

Barry Bowen
The sub-title of this book, *travels with narrative therapy*, led me to think about my own Narrative journey, in particular my longstanding interest in David Epston’s practice. I then began to wonder about David’s journey, from his own early influences to having become a major influence on both Narrative Therapy and family therapy, especially with regards to the UK.

I can’t remember how I first heard about David Epston. I also can’t remember how I first heard about Salvador Minuchin, Milton Erickson, Bill O’Hanlon, Michael White, or any of the other major influences upon my career as a therapist. As far as David is concerned, I am fairly sure it would have been in either 1989 or 1990, around the time that *Literate Means to Therapeutic Ends* (later republished as *Narrative Means to Therapeutic Ends* in the United States) was published in Australia (White & Epston, 1989). I doubt at that time if any of us were thinking of what later emerged as Narrative Therapy as being a separate entity, but more as another exciting school of family therapy. In the UK, Narrative Therapy has remained a therapeutic approach in its own right and has also merged into the mainstream of family therapy. As a family therapist (or a systemic psychotherapist, to use the newer and wider term) I have felt happy to embrace Narrative Therapy in both ways, although I am aware that this has not always been the case in other countries or in other cultures.

So what do I identify as being basic to my being an Epston-influenced therapist? Four elements come to mind: one is the emphasis upon practice, the second is co-researching the knowledges of the people whom seek our help (1), the third is the use of everyday language, and the fourth is a deep respect for other human beings and the emphasis upon the strengths of others rather than their ‘weaknesses’. This, above all, is what drew me to what later became Narrative Therapy in the early days of 1989/1990.

Long before we in the UK had heard of David Epston, he was already known in his native New Zealand and also in Australia as a creative therapist, teacher and writer (e.g. Epston, 1983, 1985, 1986). In his introduction to *Narrative Means to Therapeutic Ends*, Michael White writes about the influence of David’s childhood experiences and his former career as an anthropologist on his earlier work, especially as regards his interest in stories and the influence stories have on the way we see both ourselves and others. During the eighties, for example, David coordinated the ‘Story Corner’ section of *the Australian & New Zealand Journal of Family Therapy*. My co-editor Gary Robinson and I unknowingly followed in his footsteps with our ‘Therapeutic Stories’ column and themed issues in the UK family therapy publication *Context* and the associated short book (e.g. Bowen & Robinson, 1999).

The main influence on my work derived from *Narrative Means to Therapeutic Ends* was the process of co-authoring alternative stories and the importance of the written word. I had long been interested in stories (e.g. Bowen, 1997), due in part to my interest in the work of Milton Erickson (e.g. Haley, 1973). Now, however, Epston and White were suggesting that helping people to find alternatives to the largely negative stories about themselves and celebrating this through the use of letters, certificates and other written documents could open up new long-
lasting opportunities for change. In fact, David Epston and Michael White regularly interviewed recipients of such documentation and sought their opinions as to how many meetings a letter was worth. Of the several hundred whose opinion was sought, the average was five meetings.

My work had already taken on a Narrative flavour when I almost simultaneously met two books that both consolidated this change and confirmed me as an Epston-influenced therapist. The first was Michael White’s *Selected Papers* (1989) and the second was David Epston’s *Collected Papers* (1989).

Michael White’s book excited me, and I can remember being particularly enthusiastic about his papers on externalisation, grief and ‘fear-busting’ (White, 1989); David Epston’s book made an equally strong impression.

*Collected Papers* (unfortunately now out of print) was made up of thirty papers, published between 1983 and 1988, but some of the work recorded dated back as far as 1979. This, then, is an excellent source for David’s therapeutic journey during much of the eighties, as recorded in mainly Australian journals. *Collected Papers* therefore served a similar role in the eighties to that served by Part One of this book: to acquaint those of us who live in the upper hemisphere with papers we would otherwise have missed.

These early papers already have that distinctive style of David’s, an avoidance of academic aloofness and ‘academic-speak’ in favour of a naturalistic first-person account of what actually happened. I am sure David’s background in anthropology had a part to play, but so did those aspects I referred to above: an emphasis upon practice, co-researching with people, and the use of experience-near language, combined with a deep respect for the potential strengths of fellow human beings. All these are wonderfully demonstrated in what I consider to be the stand-out (and longest) paper in that book: *In memory of Hatu (Hayden) Barlow (1973-1985)*.

At the time I recommended the reading of this paper to almost every professional with whom I came into contact. It was a very moving account of young Maori boy’s struggle with terminal cancer and how he achieved greater control over his remaining life. It is not only the story of Hatu’s tremendous courage, but of the co-operation and creativity of all involved: Hatu, his family and the various professionals, including David Epston. David encouraged Hatu to ‘test’ the abilities of family members to stand up to his worries; he used hypnotherapy, story-telling and metaphor to confront his terrifying dreams, eating difficulties and the side-effects of chemotherapy; he used therapeutic letters and a ‘stealing test’ so that Hatu could regain his reputation as an honest person (something I have now done several times since, often successfully, having found that the skill is often in ‘selling’ the idea to the parents: the child is usually on board well before them); he also created an anger control programme that involved an imaginary three-headed tiger. The paper ends with two moving tributes to Hatu, one from his social worker and the other from his mother. No one I know has ever read this last section without crying, and at the same time being inspired by this young man. I am pleased that David has decided to open Part One of this book with a version of that chapter.
Another selection of published papers followed these two separate volumes (White, 1989, Epston, 1989) containing contributions from both David and Michael, and with the intriguing title: *Experience, Contradiction, Narrative & Imagination* (Epston & White, 1992). This book contained their important paper: *Consulting your consultants: the documentation of alternative knowledges*. (pp11-16).

For some reason I didn’t hear about David’s next venture, the book *Narrative Therapy: The Archaeology of Hope* (Monk et al, 1997). Instead, the next book I read was *Catching up with David Epston* (Epston, 1998). This contained material I had first met at a 2 day workshop David presented in Liverpool, my first meeting with David. The cover shows David characteristically sitting astride his beloved bicycle and the subtitle of the book quite tellingly informs us that this is a collection of *practice-based* papers. The period of time the reprinted papers cover is 1991 to 1996.

In 1994, David had visited Liverpool to present a two-day workshop at the request of Barnardo’s Family Therapy Team (2). I was there and I remember David taking us through a number of his therapy sessions, using video and overheads of transcripts. He also very generously allowed the workshops (apart from those parts featuring videos of families) to be recorded on video. For a few years, I was the proud owner of a video of David talking about his creative work with ‘imaginary friends’ (until an errant video recorder chewed it up!). I met this work again, though, as chapter 6 of *Catching up with David Epston* (pp 39-60). The following year I returned to Liverpool with 15 of the original participants to present our own Narrative Therapy work, much of this later being published in *Context*, including my own presentation on Narrative approaches to anger (Bowen, 1996a) and anxiety (Bowen, 1996b).

The introduction to *Catching up with David Epston* contains the phrase ‘*I have always committed myself to generate practice from the ground-up rather than theory-down*’ and emphasises the importance of ‘drawing closer’ to the experiences of others. These, he says in the introduction, are the common threads running through what at first glance seem to be a disparate selection of papers. Thus, in the book we read about the important influence of David’s father upon his later work, re-authoring, internalising and externalising discourses, internalised other questioning, the above-mentioned imaginary friends, anger control, letter writing and rituals of inclusion, communities of concern and other Narrative approaches to eating disorders, co-researching, and externalising problems. There is also a chapter called ‘*voices*’ that I’m still trying to fully understand! The chapters are crystal clear and practice-based. Although I take back the crystal clear description as far as ‘*voices*’ is concerned!

Internalised other questioning came up again when I met David for the second time in Manchester in 2005, as it was fairly central to one of the workshops he presented. David also presented what he and his co-researchers refer to as anti-anorexia/anti-bulimia, which is the subject of what I consider to be one of the best books on the subject – *Biting the Hand that Starves You: Inspiring Resistance to Anorexia/Bulimia* (Maisel, Epston & Borden, 2004). But I am getting ahead of myself.

After *Catching up with David Epston*, I read *Playful Approaches to Serious Problems* (Freeman, Epston & Lobovits, 1997). This had been published in the USA the
previous year, but I didn’t manage to get a copy until a couple of years later. David made a direct link to his earlier influences by in part dedicating the book to ‘Benny the Peanut Man’, a reference to his father, a chapter by the same name having appeared in the ‘Catching Up’ book. ‘Playful Approaches’ was divided into sections entitled Playful Communication, Playful Means, and Playful Stories. My particular favourite was a chapter about children with ‘weird and special abilities’ (pp 179-192), a topic that had come up during David’s Liverpool workshops. He writes in the chapter of meetings with ‘weirdly abled’ children, young people who had used their imagination in creative ways. Sometimes these children had been described as ‘a nerd’, ‘a day-dreamer’, or as ‘living in a fantasy world’. Now they came to realise that having an imaginary friend, or turning yourself into an imaginary animal, for example, were in fact highly prized skills not shared by the unfortunate and less weirdly abled. Once again there was a mention of David’s father, who was it seems himself weirdly abled, or perhaps just an early Narrative practitioner! The book includes five extended case stories in the ‘Playful Stories’ section, themselves worth the price of buying the book. Not all of these are by David, but the book as a whole is a good example of the way David co-works with other professionals.

I was aware of David’s interest in eating disorders, mostly from visiting his website (see below) and so I was not surprised to hear that he was visiting Manchester in 2005 to offer three days of workshops, the first of which was to be on that subject. Unfortunately, because of another commitment, I wasn’t able to go to the eating disorder workshop, but I did attend days 2 and 3. On both days I somehow ended up joining David for lunch at a nearby café. I was about to start editing a special issue of Context on the theme of Narrative Therapy and already had some very good UK practitioners offering contributions. Over lunch I wondered aloud if David would be interested in also offering a contribution. The result was a fascinating paper called ‘Putting pressure on yourself to put pressure on the problem’ (Epston, 2006). The subject area of that paper is expanded upon in chapter 7 of this book.

The conversation moved on and David talked about having published papers ‘down under’ in Australasia that had never found their way to the western hemisphere. He also had some new material, some of which needed a little editing. Would he be interested in a book, I wondered? I was sure AFT Publishing would be keen to publish it and I knew I was more than interested in editing it. We agreed to pursue the idea. David went back to New Zealand and I went back to Hampshire. I negotiated with AFT Publishing and the publishing house Karnac, as we needed a marketer and distributor, while my e-mail in-tray began to fill up with chapters, part-chapters and papers.

It soon became clear that this book, like Catching up with David Epston, would be wide-ranging in its subject matter. Part One (Down Under) contains previously published work from different periods of David’s writing career, with some changes so as to form a more coherent whole. As always, each chapter reflects David’s creativity, and at times those of his co-writers. Thus we read of therapeutic communication via FAX, a weirdly abled young person, a richly emotional ‘haunting
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from the future', temper taming, undergraduate final papers as a rite of passage, and that chapter in memory of a boy with a terminal illness (Hatu) that had made me cry many years before, and which I still believe is the best chapter David has ever written. Then we turn to Part Two (Up Over) and find six examples of David's current work, all of which are printed for the first time. This section is also both varied and creative. Thus we find inventive approaches to chronic bed-wetting, relationships between children and their estranged fathers, court reports, stealing, and sibling conflicts, as well as a long chapter on Anti-Anorexia, a subject close to David's heart.

Some of the e-mails exchanged between David and I also contained little snippets of David's other commitments, his marathon bicycle ride, and the exciting news that in 2007 he had been awarded the 'distinguished contribution to theory and practice of family therapy' by the American Family Therapy Academy (AFTA). Eventually the book became a viable project, David and I became e-mail pen friends, and I've truly enjoyed being part of both.

Barry Bowen
2008
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In Memory of Hatu (Hayden) Barlow (1973-1985)

Cynthia Barlow, David Epston, Mike Murphy, Lynn O’Flaherty and Louise Webster

I first met Hayden, a ten-year-old Maori boy, on the 31st May 1983. In his too short life, his accomplishments were of such an order that everyone who knew him remembers him by his teachings (‘he taught me so much’). Even before the recurrence of his disease, Hayden, his parents and I had discussed and started work on making his story known to others. For Hayden, with very little assistance, had found a way to replace the suffering most young people experience as an effect of their chemotherapeutic treatment with the delight of movies in his mind. His death made me set aside the project. He lived on in the taped discussions we had together and my memories of him. And I knew if he had survived, he would have wanted to make his discoveries known to other cancer sufferers. I discussed this with the Barlows, and they supported it in principle and contributed to it. For me, I wanted Hayden’s wizardry to be available in some form useable to my colleagues. For that reason, I invited Mike Murphy to add commentary from a hypnotic perspective. I also believed that by writing down Hayden’s story that I would keep his memory alive.

Dr. Webster introduces Hayden

When I first met Hayden, he had been fighting his cancer for eight years. He was found to have a Wilm’s tumour in his left kidney when he was three years old. His kidney was removed and he started chemotherapy. He had a recurrence several months after his surgery and developed a metastasis in his right lung, which was treated by radiotherapy. Hayden continued having chemotherapy which involved frequent blood tests, needles, drips and drugs which made him ill. This regime continued until he was six.

Just before his eleventh birthday, the metastasis in his lung began to grow again and he came into hospital to have the cancer and part of his lung removed. After that, he was to have another year of chemotherapy.

I first met him after his surgery. Every month, his parents would drive him to the hospital from Tauranga, one hundred miles away, for his chemotherapy. At first he seemed unconcerned about the therapy, always smiling and never showing any distress. But slowly over the next few months, he changed. He had a dramatic weight loss, was not eating and looked miserable and unwell. Initially, it was suspected that these were consequences of the disease process but examination did not support this conclusion. I told Hayden I was worried about him but could find no physical cause for his weight loss. I asked him what he thought the problem might be. Hayden pulled the brim of the baseball cap he customarily wore down over his face. All I could see were his tears trickling out from under it. He hated anyone to see him cry. He told me he couldn’t eat. Every time he sat down to a meal, he would see needles and drips and feel nauseated, just like he did after chemotherapy. At night, he had nightmares of tombstones with drip bottles and infusion lines running into them. He was dying while doctors and nurses climbed out of the tombstones towards him saying: ‘We’re going to get you? It’s your fault!’ Then he would
see a truck bearing down on him. Hayden acknowledged that he was afraid of
dying. He told me he had ‘never made a fuss before’ about his chemotherapy
but now he felt he couldn’t endure it any longer. Although Hayden seemed
relieved by telling me of his fears, I knew that this was insufficient for him
to recommence his chemotherapy. I told him that his treatments would be
waived until he felt reassured about continuing and that a delay would not
matter. Hayden requested that I let his parents know what was happening. He
had been unable to reveal to them that his usual bravado was just a front. I
talked to them with Hayden and with their permission referred them to David
Epston at The Leslie Centre.

First Session (David Epston)

Louise Webster rang me requesting that I urgently see the Barlow family. She
reassured me that Hayden's sudden weight loss was unrelated to any disease
process and told me of her concerns. I agreed to do so if she was willing to
accompany them.

Cynthia and Roy Barlow appeared both confused and desperate. Their five-year-
old daughter, Rina, was beside herself with excitement as she had just got a white
kitten. She was requested to play in the playroom. Hayden was buried beneath
his father's bushman's coat (swandri). Cynthia and Roy told me that Hayden was
extremely independent and for some time had preferred to manage his hospital
treatments on his own. However, he wasn't managing any more and, without asking,
I surmised they must have been dreadfully concerned about his unaccountable
weight loss; their observations that he had become “pale and miserable” over the
past month, and his refusal to undergo any further treatments. Hayden's eyes
were downcast but I noticed in my peripheral vision that when I turned towards
his parents he would look up towards me. After establishing the parents' degree
of concern and confusion, I turned quickly towards him, meeting his gaze for the
first time and said: ‘Do you think your parents can stand up to your strong feelings?’
Hayden was caught off guard and uttered a defensive ‘dunno’. ‘Well, why don’t you
put them to the test? They look strong enough to me but you’ll never know until you
test them!’ Before Hayden could come up with an answer, I turned to his parents:
‘Do you mind if Hayden tests you to see if you are strong enough to stand up to
his worries?’ Although uncertain as to the nature of the test, they wholeheartedly
agreed to undergo it. It was agreed that his father would go first and that his test
would last exactly fifteen minutes. Louise, Cynthia and I retired to another room
leaving father and son sitting across from one another with a box of tissues placed
midway between them. After exactly 15 minutes, Cynthia joined Roy and Hayden.
Louise and I knocked on the door when Cynthia's time was up and in due course
were welcomed back into the room. The floor was littered with tissues so I guessed
things had worked out as I had hoped. Everyone had composed themselves,
looked somewhat relieved and were laughing. Hayden with some pride assured
me that his parents could stand up to his strong feelings. I asked Hayden to give
me a measure of his worries as opposed to his fun by holding his hands apart to indicate the ‘bigness’ of each. I carefully took measurements with a tape measure – 50 centimetres of worry and 15 centimetres of fun. Louise reiterated that this month’s treatment would be deferred. Instead, I invited them to return the next day to meet together once again.

Second session (next day)

The mood of the family was lighter but they still didn’t really know what to expect. I asked if I could meet with Hayden on my own. I told him Louise had informed me of his bad dreams. He told me he dreamt that a medical round of doctors surrounded him and pointed their fingers accusingly at him, shouting: ‘That’s the one!’ They then stood aside for him to see a truck bearing down on him. He would then awake from this nightmare. He went on to me that as a young boy, he had been responsible for the supervision of an intellectually handicapped uncle. Hayden crossed a road ahead of him but his uncle walked in front of an oncoming truck. Hayden recollected: ‘He went to hospital but before he died he had drips in him. I just about beat up the lorry driver. I didn’t think!’ I elicited Hayden’s guilt and self-accusation but did not challenge it in any way. I merely accepted his construction of events. I requested his parents to join us and asked their permission for me to hypnotise Hayden. They agreed and sat by my side, looking on with keen anticipation. I guided us all into a trance by inviting Hayden to close his eyes and asked him if he could see a TV screen in his mind and ratified this by the questions: ‘Is it black and white or colour? Is it a big one or a little one?’ Hayden confirmed it was a large colour TV set in his mind. To ratify the trance behaviour for Hayden and his parents, I had Hayden levitate his hand by having him imagine a balloon being linked to his wrist by a fine string. While his hand was levitated, I told the following story:

A long time ago and in another far away place, I was doing the job I am doing now. A man came to see me. He told me he couldn’t eat anymore, and that he used to really like his tucker. ‘Why not?’ I asked. He told me it was a long story. I told I had plenty of time and he could tell me the whole story if he wished. He said he had to. He had no choice. It had been bothering him for a long time and he had had enough of it. He told me he was a truck driver and had killed a man ACCIDENTALLY. And ever since then, he hadn’t been able to eat properly. ‘How did it happen?’ I asked. He told me that he had been driving along when a young boy crossed the road in front of him. Then a bee came from nowhere and stung him in the face. Then he temporarily lost control and ran over a man who had followed the boy. ‘Well’, I said, ‘You can now start eating again. It surely was not your fault. It was the bee’s fault!’ He replied: ‘I know that….. I know that. That’s not what I am worried about. I’m worried sick about the boy!’ I became confused and asked him why. He said: ‘He’ll blame himself even though it was the bee’s fault!’ Now I knew I was getting somewhere. I told him, ‘Look, I’ve been doing this job with children now for nine years and I know what I’m talking about. I want
you to know that no boy would believe such a crazy idea!’ He immediately brightened up and asked if I was sure about that. ‘Sure, I’m sure,’ I said. And you know, he went home that day, regained his appetite, and starting eating again. He let me know later that his life had improved in many ways although to this day he doesn’t like bees much. But I guess that is easy to understand.

I continued with some trance ‘talk’ and then asked Hayden what his favourite foods were. After some thought, he said they were Kentucky fried chicken and pizzas. I then mockingly warned him that if he didn’t START TO EAT, I would get some drips from the hospital and give them to his parents. They were then to put the pizza on one plate and some drips on another and he would have to choose between them. He said he would definitely choose pizzas over drips. I then said that his parents were to insist that he jump up and down on the drips, saying, ‘That isn’t food. That’s for doctors and my coming right!’ Before he was allowed to start on his pizza, he should throw the drips in the rubbish bin. He assured me that this would be no trouble for him. I said, ‘At the dinner table, there’s only ONE thing you’re going to have and that’s food.’ I gradually re-oriented Hayden who immediately grasped about his head for the imaginary balloon, much to his parents’ amusement. We agreed to meet a day before his next treatment in a month’s time.

**Third Session (one month later)**

I began the session by asking Hayden if I could re-assess his fun versus worry ratio. Everything about him and his family told me the result would be a good one. It was: 120 centimetres of fun versus 1 centimetre of worry. When I asked him how this had happened, he told me that finding out that his mother and father ‘could stand up to my worries’ had helped him ‘cut down’ on his worrying. ‘I tell them my worries. I had tested them. They can take a lot.’ Both Roy and Cynthia told me that they had been unaware of the nature of his concerns and felt they had now convinced him that he didn’t have to be ‘so strong.’ They said there had been ‘big changes’ since our last meeting. Hayden was talking a lot, looking happy, not hiding behind his swandri and eating well. Now his plate was ‘always piled up’ and despite my concern for him becoming overweight, it was a concern he didn’t share. His nightmares had stopped and been replaced ‘by good dreams of just nothing.’ He no longer saw drips so his parents didn’t have to play ‘our joke’ on him. His colour had now returned to his face and he was able to look at his hair growing back, whereas before he didn’t dare to.

When I asked Cynthia what advice she would give to other mothers, she said: ‘Talk to him. Ask him all the time what’s going on in his head. Cry about it. It’s not bad to cry.’ Roy’s reply to the same question was: ‘I’d do the same. I’d get into a room and talk to him. He was a different boy after that.’ Hayden’s reply was: ‘Tell your mother and father and get everything out of your system. Don’t bottle it up inside you. After talking to you (David), it just came out. Now I know my mother and father can take my worries. I sleep right away now!’
Cynthia commented that Hayden was no longer frightened of his treatment. In the meantime, I had had discussions with Wilma Schwartz. I was informed that Hayden was one of the worst reactors she had known to the side-effects of the treatment. He would vomit approximately five times in anticipation of the treatment, after which he would persist in dry retching. He required medication and often had to stay overnight in the hospital to be rehydrated. I asked Hayden if this was so and he assured me it was. I asked him if he wanted to learn to control his vomiting. In a matter of fact way, he informed me that he already knew how: ‘You just switch yourself off. I know a boy who has a technique to switch himself off’. The parents concurred as they too know of Carl, the boy to whom Hayden was referring. Carl, a fellow patient somewhat older than Hayden, was well-known for his self-taught ability. I said: ‘Well, with that in mind, you teach yourself how to switch off and I’ll show you how to switch on to movies in your mind. BUT YOU WILL HAVE TO PRACTICE. You want to switch yourself on to movies?’ Everyone considered that to be a great idea. I re-guided us into a trance focusing on Hayden. I established that the needle was inserted in the vein in the back of his hand and the sensations associated with it. ‘You feel the coolness of the drips. You feel it go all the way up your arm and it stops just past your arm’. Hayden told me that Carl switched off and ‘thinks of good things!’ I found out that Every Which Way But Loose was his favourite movie and he told me its story. I then gave him a post-hypnotic suggestion, employing the sensation of coldness and/or nausea to ‘switch on’ the movie of his choice.

I arranged to ring Louise Webster after his treatment (9am-4pm) the next day, but I couldn’t wait until it finished. I rang at 2pm to learn that he had indeed ‘switched off’, avoided vomiting, was deeply relaxed throughout his treatment. He was so relaxed in fact that he wet himself. Next time, I would remind him to go to the toilet BEFORE he ‘switched off/switched on’.

Fourth Session (two months later)
There had been some mild distress during the next month’s treatment although this probably had something to do with ‘a cold’. We met again and the following is a transcript of that session with commentary by Mike Murphy. After this session, Hayden was to never vomit again and endured his treatment with equanimity until the year was up. Although I visited Hayden and his family on several occasions, these were social calls. Things were certainly looking up for Hayden.

Mike Murphy’s commentary
David’s description of his work with Hayden includes much reference to the use of hypnotic approaches, particularly indirect and Ericksonian hypnosis, reflected in the use of metaphor and indirect suggestion. The basic hypnotic effect used is one of encouraging pleasant and/or distracting associations with some of the unpleasant stimuli Hayden experienced as part of his chemotherapy. Hayden had associated chemotherapy with nausea so that the thought of intravenous drip equipment triggered nausea and eating had become associated with visualising...
drips and hence also nausea. A relationship between the chemotherapy and the nightmares can be considered as the nightmare included the image of a group of medical practitioners accusing Hayden.

David's metaphor incorporating the truck driver who accidentally killed a man and who subsequently could not eat appears to address the possible issues of self-blame and self-punishment in the form of not eating. As well as providing a story regarding someone who began eating again, and hence a classic form of Ericksonian indirect suggestion, it also provides a new perspective for Hayden to attribute blame for his relative's death, shifting the ultimate responsibility to a bee who stung the driver. It also presents the driver as concerned about, and hence responsible for, the little boy who was with the man who was killed.

The issue of self-control is then introduced with the proposed task of choosing between a plate of Kentucky fried chicken or a plate of intravenous drips. A distinction between drips and food is being drawn but the choice is emphasised by the imagery of Hayden smashing the drips. He has to have chemotherapy but he does not have to 'eat' drips.

In the third session, in which the switching off/switching on metaphor is constructed, David utilises Hayden's account of a fellow sufferer who has learned to switch off. By doing so, he has indirectly suggested that Hayden 'switch off' his experiences of chemotherapy and nausea and 'switch on' a favourite movie in his imagination. Their term 'switching on' is usefully ambiguous in that it has connotations of being the right thing to do. This approach makes use of the hypnotic concept of disassociation, often used for pain management, in which Hayden's attention is 'switched on' to his internal visual and auditory world of remembered or imagined movies. As a result he is less aware of the unpleasant aspects of intravenous drips and nausea.

In the transcript of the fourth session, David makes extensive use of indirect suggestion, particularly presuppositions and binds which presupposes a certain outcome. An example of a presupposing indirect bind is the statement, 'When are you going to make a cup of tea?' in which it is presupposed that the listener is going to make a cup of tea but their attention is focussed on 'when'. David uses several suggestions of this form with Hayden, always presupposing Hayden is in charge. Throughout, he suggests metaphors and images to which Hayden responds. Aspects of David's use of indirect suggestions and the utilisation principle are illustrated in the following remarks inserted in the transcript of the fourth session:

**DE:** Well Hayden, I just thought we'd start talking about- I'd like to know what's happened to your nightmares?

**Hayden:** They've gone away....they just vanished um ... um because I came to see you.

**DE:** Where do you think they went to? Did they just go down the drain?

**Hayden:** (gleefully) Yeah!!

**DE:** Do you think you ever want them back?
Hayden: (assertively) No!
DE: Yeah. Do you have any ideas ... why I'm asking is because I am trying to learn about this, right, learning from you then I'll teach you something ... I'm just wondering, do you have any ideas how it happened? I know it happened but I'm trying to learn how it happened. I'm not quite sure myself. I've done it quite a few times but I learned from the kids telling me about it. What do you think happened?

David is immediately adopting the position that Hayden has achieved getting rid of his nightmares. Hayden is somehow in control. He is challenging Hayden’s earlier statement ‘because I came to see you’.

Hayden: It’s just....it’s just the drips...the needles and all that...

Hayden sounds as though he has lapsed into an altered state as he recalls the drips. He has difficulty continuing to speak although he sounds comfortable. This could be the post-hypnotic suggestion effect of previous work.

DE: Yeah.....they’ve disappeared?
Hayden: Humph!
DE: So your mum and dad didn’t have to play that joke on you?
Hayden: No....
DE: So we saved the hospital having to give you some drips to decide whether you wanted to eat them or not?
Hayden: Yep.
DE: So you’re not having bad dreams?
Hayden: No.
DE: Are you having good dreams?

David shifts Hayden’s attention from bad dreams to good dreams. Simply eliciting an idea can be regarded as an indirect suggestion, in this case, to have good dreams.

Hayden: Yep.
DE: Can you tell me about some of your good dreams?
Hayden: Sometimes I just dream about nothing and sometimes...
DE: Is it a happy nothing?
Hayden: Yeah, it’s just nothing. Sometimes I dream about ... oh, I forget all my dreams. The good ones just somehow slip out of my mind and I can’t remember them again.
DE: It’s easier to remember the bad ones you know. They wake you up. So you’ve been having some good sleeps?
The idea of good dreams is broadened and associated with ‘good sleeps’.

**Hayden:** Mmmm.

**DE:** OK. The other thing I wanted to know is ... remember before you found it very difficult to eat?

**Hayden:** Yep.

**DE:** You were off your tucker. Looks like you’re gaining a lot of weight?

**Hayden:** Hmm.

**DE:** Getting stronger?

The idea of gaining weight is associated with getting stronger, usually an appealing concept for middle childhood boys.

**Hayden:** (laughs)

**DE:** What’s happened there, do you reckon?

**Hayden:** All the nightmares are gone – that’s helped a bit. And now when I eat, I don’t feel all those drips.

**DE:** You don’t feel the drips?

**Hayden:** I don’t see them.

**DE:** Good ... good ... good.

**Hayden:** And I just eat my tea ... breakfast ... lunch ...

**DE:** And you’re having a lot more fun than worries?

Once again associating a pleasant, positive idea with eating, as distinct from, and a more useful alternative to worrying, feeling nauseous, etc. This is also an indirect suggestion to have fun while eating.

**Hayden:** Yep.

**DE:** Are you worrying about the fact that your mother is a bit worried now?

**Hayden:** Yah.

**DE:** What do you think she should do about that?

David frames worry as her responsibility rather than Hayden’s

**DE:** Now the other thing I wanted to know was – remember you came to me and I talked to you and the next day, you went into hospital. Not the last time but the time before?

**Hayden:** Yeh.

**DE:** Do you remember what happened? The time you saw the movie and all that...

**Hayden:** Do you want me to tell you about the movie?

**DE:** No, I just want to know what happened...

**Hayden:** It was those bikies and Clyde punched and beat them up.

**DE:** Beat up the bikies? Clyde the gorilla...
Hayden: Yeah ... and lots of fun...
DE: And tell me how long did the movies last when you were having your treatment?
Hayden: Wouldn’t have a clue!
DE: Did you know how long that was?
Mother: A couple of hours.
Hayden: That was a couple of years ago. I saw it – the movie. Ask me another question?
DE: Ask another question ... alright. So you just went out like a light and turned off a switch?
Hayden: Yeah.
DE: Then you turned on the movie?
Hayden: Yep!
DE: Do you want to watch ‘The Dukes of Hazzard’ this time?

David’s question presupposes that Hayden will use the same technique again, but shifts his attention to the choice of ‘movies’ to watch.

DE: So you’re getting like Carl ... switching things off and switching things on. That’s really good you can do that!

Emphasises that Hayden is in control.

Hayden: Mmmh.
DE: So if I ever meet a boy who was having bad dreams like you USED to do, what advice would you think I should give that boy? What should he do?

Describes bad dreams as past experience.

Hayden: Of course, he’d have to come to you...
DE: (to mother) He’s giving me too much credit. I’m worried about that. You say I did it. YOU DID IT! I just helped. I gave you some ideas. I wasn’t there with you. You did it all by yourself with your mum there. You’ve been doing something about your dreams. I gave you some ideas but you did it. (to mother) Do you agree that he’s giving me all the credit? I want him to take it back because YOU did the eating, I didn’t do the eating, right? I still weigh the same – you’re the guy who’s getting fatter, not me. I want to argue with you on that. You’ve been controlling your food, you’ve got in charge of your stomach feelings?

Hayden: Mmmh.
DE: Have you wondered about that?
Hayden: No ... oh ...
DE: Like Carl is in charge ... he’s in charge. He’s the boss of his stomach.
Uses a referential shift to Carl in order to emphasise Hayden is in control.

**Hayden:** (laughs)
**DE:** He’s turned off his feelings from his stomach. I went and talked to him. I said, ‘Carl, how do you do it?’ He said, ‘I just switch off!’ And so have you. You’ve been switching off ... you’ve switched on!”

**Mother:** That’s what he told me.
**DE:** Well he does. And so have you. You’ve been switching off but you haven’t switched, you have also switched on to the movies. And maybe to the ‘Dukes of Hazzard?’ OK.

**Hayden:** Yeh! I want you to ask me some more questions...
**DE:** Do you want some more questions? How many times do you think you are going to vomit tomorrow?

Assessed Hayden’s future expectations with respect to vomiting and then suggests ideas to do with less vomiting.

**Hayden:** Four.
**DE:** Four? How many times do you want to vomit?
**Hayden:** None.
**DE:** What about if you vomit twice?
**Hayden:** Mmmh ... I would be satisfied.
**DE:** You’d be satisfied? What if you vomit twice and didn’t get very upset?
**Hayden:** I’d be happy.
**DE:** You’d be happy? OK. When would you like to vomit? What time do you want to vomit?
**Hayden:** When I get back to the motel.
**DE:** When you get back?
**Hayden:** No, on my way there.
**DE:** On your way there?
**Hayden:** On the way to the motel.
**DE:** On the way to the motel?
**Hayden:** Yeah!
**DE:** Is there any nice place you’d like to go to vomit? Is there a nice tree or somewhere in the park you would like to go?
**Hayden:** No ... I’ll just vomit anywhere if I have to.
**DE:** Yeah but why don’t you somewhere you’d like to go? Maybe we could find a nice place where no one was looking ... you don’t want to do it where people are looking, do you?
**Hayden:** It’s hard when you’re walking through the park.
**DE:** Why ... are there lots of people there?
**Hayden:** Yeh! They’re always jogging past you.
**DE:** Do you think you’d like a secret place to vomit?
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**Hayden:** In the motel.

**DE:** I’ll tell you why don’t you wait until you get back to the motel? Do you feel that’s a good place to vomit?

**Hayden:** Yep!

**DE:** And not in a way that is unpleasant ... maybe next time after that you could do without any vomiting? But maybe you’re rushing into it? Because you didn’t vomit very much at all last time. (to mother) Right?

**Mother:** He did the first time but he got sick after the second time.

**DE:** So he seemed to forget?

**Mother:** He’d go back to the motel and dry retch.

**DE:** Right, so maybe this time, you should just vomit twice in a way that isn’t too unpleasant. Just the way you want to.

Throughout the above sequence, David is framing or presupposing Hayden as having a choice, being in control. This is very similar to an example Haley (1973) describes of Erickson’s work with a man who fainted in public. Erickson kept offering him new alternatives of where to faint, each one requiring a move nearer the goal of the exercise, which was to go to a restaurant. David discusses choices of where to vomit, each one later than the other, and then shifts to the idea of vomiting in a pleasant way. Even if Hayden had not accepted this seemingly remote possibility, he probably would have overlooked any critical assessment of the previous discussion of where to vomit, and hence would be more likely to accept the suggestion.

**Hayden:** Yah...

**DE:** You know you can control these things. It takes a lot of strength. Do you think you’ve got enough strength inside of you to do this?

David links the idea of control to that of strength.

**Hayden:** Yep!

**DE:** Sounds like you really meant it. Do you think you’ve got a tiger inside of you that could take charge of your stomach feelings?

**Hayden:** Yeh...

**DE:** Do BATTLE with them?

**Hayden:** Yeh.

**DE:** Do you think it’s a tiger inside of you (1) or is it something else inside you that will give you strength? What do you think you need inside you to FIGHT back your stomach ... to keep those feelings under your control?

**Hayden:** What I need in there?

**DE:** Yah, what do you need to give you strength? I know you’re pretty strong on the outside but what about on the inside? I met this one kid who needed a Batman inside him and another who had a tiger. What do you think you need?
Hayden: Oh boy, I need a three-headed tiger.

David has suggested the ‘tiger inside’ Hayden, which Hayden responds to favourably. Later when offered some choice about what sort of strong ally he might have inside him, it is presupposed that Hayden can and does have some strong ally. He takes David’s suggestion regarding a tiger and elaborates it as a three-headed tiger. Hayden’s elaboration of this image indicates his engagement with it.

DE: A three-headed tiger ... OK. Do you think the tiger needs a lot of tiger food?

David utilises the three-headedness of the tiger by asking about the quantity of food such a three-mouthed creature would require.

Hayden: Yep, I’ll give it to him.
DE: Will you give him some tiger food tonight?

This sentence frames Hayden as in charge of the tiger. The imagery of Hayden feeding the tiger becomes an indirect way of discussing and suggesting aspects of Hayden’s eating.

Hayden: Yep.
DE: What kind of tiger food do you think he’ll need to be REALLY strong for tomorrow?
Hayden: MEAT!
DE: Meat. Is that what tigers like?
Hayden: Yep.
DE: Three-headed tigers! (to mother) You’ve probably fed a few three-headed tigers in your time. Do you know what they like to make them real strong?
Mother: I hope this tiger inside Hayden likes mince because that’s what he’s getting for tea.
DE: Oh they love mince ... they love mince ... Do you know that?
Hayden: Why?
DE: You know what mince is. Mince is meat cut up into little bits so they don’t have to work so hard with their teeth. So those tigers will save their energy on their teeth because it’s so soft and easy to chew. You know mince is a lot easier to eat than steak, isn’t it?
Hayden: Yep.
DE: So what else do you think that tiger will be getting to make him strong?
Mother: A few veggies and mashed potatoes.
DE: Do you think they like that?
Hayden: Yup!
DE: This tiger is going to have good tucker. I guess he needs a good night’s sleep.
Hayden: Hmm.
DE: What time do you think you’re going to put the three-headed tiger to bed tonight?

Good food is linked to good sleep, and again Hayden is framed as in charge of the tiger.

Hayden: After whatever has taken over from “Falcon Crest?”
DE: OK. What else do you need for this tiger to be really, really strong tomorrow?
Hayden: Faith.
DE: Faith? What kind of faith?
Hayden: STRONG FAITH!
DE: Strong faith. Any ideas you’d like to give the tiger that would help him?
Hayden: No.
DE: If you were going to give him a talking to about having strong faith before you go to bed, what would you say to him ... this three-headed tiger?

David uses the idea of the tiger gaining strong faith through Hayden’s advice and guidance. Hayden again is presented as in charge and the device of talking to the tiger is an indirect way of having Hayden ‘talk to himself’ in a positive way.

Hayden: Kill the yucky feelings.
DE: Kill the feelings?
Hayden: Yeah!
DE: Bite it?
Hayden: Eat it!
DE: Right ... now you’re talking. And do you think that three-headed tiger has enough heads to eat up those yucky feelings? I guess there’s quite a lot of those feelings in your stomach.
Hayden: It’s got some cousins.
DE: Some cousins. How many heads have they got?
Hayden: About three.
DE: Do you think the three-headed tiger could invite them to come along tomorrow?

David checks the strength of Hayden’s ally. Hayden creates a great deal of resources for his tiger – a hopeful indication.

Hayden: Great! I think you’ve got a lot of help. Are those tigers friendly to you?
Hayden: To me they are.
DE: You’ve tamed them?
Hayden: Yeh.
DE: Have you ever shown them off to anyone else or do you keep them to yourself?
Hayden: To myself.
DE: Yeh. They might bite me or your dad but you’ve tamed them?
Hayden: Yeh!
DE: Tiger tamer. You’re a tiger tamer! You’re a pretty interesting boy. Tell me, are you going to give this tiger a talking to tonight?

Again emphasising Hayden is in charge, and then checking Hayden’s auto-suggestion plans for the future.

Hayden: Yip!
DE: What are you going to say to him?
Hayden: Kill that yucky feeling that makes me want to vomit.
DE: So that tiger has to eat up that yucky feeling?
Hayden: TIGERS!
DE: Tigers or rather tiger-heads plus cousins.
Hayden: Yeh.
DE: Are the cousins bigger or smaller than the three-headed tiger?
Hayden: Similar to the three-headed tiger.
DE: About the same size. Did you get these in Africa?
Hayden: Yip…some from Africa. (2)
DE: How did you get them to New Zealand?
Hayden: (laughing) Smuggled them.
DE: Did you? On an airplane or on a boat or did you swim over with them?
Hayden: Ran...
DE: You ran. How did you run over the water?
Hayden: I jogged.
DE: You jogged over the water. Wow! That’s really good...really good.
Hayden: No, I swam on their backs.
DE: You swam on their backs?
Hayden: And then I ate them.
DE: You ate them and now they are inside you and they are going to TAKE CHARGE of your yucky feelings?
Hayden: Yip!
DE: Do they do what you tell them to do?
Hayden: Yip ... sometimes.
DE: Sometimes they do what you tell them and sometimes they are a bit naughty. If you’re a tiger tamer, they should do what you tell them to do.
Hayden: Sometimes I don’t feed them.
DE: Sometimes you don’t feed them. I wonder if you weren’t feeding them before. That’s probably why they weren’t so helpful.
Hayden: (nods in agreement)
DE: Not doing what you wanted them to do. You’re going to give them a good meal tonight – mince, mashed potatoes and veggies. Do they eat puddings?
**DOWN UNDER**

**Hayden:** Yah...heaps!

**DE:** Do they? They're pudding monsters? What sort of puddings to three-headed tigers generally like?

**Hayden:** Ummm ... chocolate puddings. And some will have to go to the dentist. My ten-headed tiger had to. It ate too much chocolate.

**DE:** Did you warn him that he should cut down?

**Hayden:** Yip.

**DE:** But he didn’t listen?

**Hayden:** No.

**DE:** So most of the time, they pay attention to you ... sometimes they don’t if you don’t feed them?

**Hayden:** Nope.

**DE:** They generally are happy to do what you ask of them ... like pussycats in their natures.

The remainder of the transcript seems to be largely a bit of fun in which David and Hayden play with the imagery that they have co-created; however, at all times, David is asking questions which assume or presuppose Hayden in control of the tigers and hence by metaphorical implication, in control of his nausea. An extremely nice piece of imagery from Hayden is the idea of eating the tigers in the first place. Eating and control are very closely associated. This also provides an explanation for the previous problem as Hayden had punished his tigers by not feeding them, thus making them weak.

**Letters**

We exchanged letters:

**Dear Hayden,**

Your three-headed tiger and its three cousins sure did a good job on your yucky feelings in your stomach. You obviously are feeding them good tucker that makes them real strong. Or maybe they are copying your strength, especially the way you have taken charge of your nightmares. Please write so I can learn exactly how you switched off like Carl and got your tigers working so hard.

You surprised me once again! I keep wondering how you will surprise me next time we meet. Have fun practising!

Your friend,

David

**Dear David,**

My yucky feelings are nowhere to be found. Yes, I’m feeling strong. The tiger is so strong. To turn myself on, I just close my eyes and think about your voice and mum tells me to turn on my TV.

Hayden
Fifth Session (five months later)
Cynthia tried to make an appointment. Hayden had been found to be involved in a number of incidents of theft from home and shops. We met together and the ‘letter’ summarises the intervention and its context.

Dear Friends,
Hayden vowed the other day that his dishonesty had gone as far as it was going to go and that he preferred to be honest. He knows now that his dishonesty has made both his mother and father distrustful of him, very angry and unhappy. Maybe his dishonesty was his way of celebrating that his ‘treatments’ are over. Whatever the reason, Hayden now says it was a mistake. He also vowed that he saw no reason to steal and he knew he could stop it. He shook his mother’s hand and said so; he shook mine and said the very same thing. What Hayden is beginning to realise is this: once you are known as a stealer, people don’t trust you. It’s very hard to prove to people that they can trust you again. Hayden asked me if I could help him with this and I agreed. Cynthia also said she would give him another chance to prove he is worthy of their trust and that of others.

What I suggest is the following:
1. Have Hayden ring up ten relatives who care about him and tell them he has been dishonest, that he has made a vow to be honest, that his parents are going to give him Honesty Tests. He will ring them after every test to tell them he has passed or failed. This is VERY IMPORTANT!
2. Then tell Hayden that within the next two weeks, he will be tested ten times. If he passes all the tests, you will accept him as an Honest Person and celebrate that by an Honesty Party.
   All those caring relatives should be invited. An Honesty Cake should be baked and Hayden should give everyone a piece, thanking them for their help to become honest and their newfound trust in him.
3. Tell Hayden too exactly what his punishment will be if he fails a test.
4. Make a large cardboard chart and put it over Hayden’s bed. It should read:

TEST: Proving I’m Worthy of Your Trust
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
After each test, have him write up his result: PASS or FAIL. Each of you should write your comments e.g. ‘I knew you could do it! Mum.’ ‘I’m proud of you!’ Dad ‘Only four more to go! Mum’ ‘That was a big one! Dad’ etc.

5. Tests:
Under no circumstances is Hayden to know you are setting a test. It wouldn’t be a test then. Here’s how you do it: Leave small sums of money (vary the amount) in a place that only Hayden could be the thief. Leave him in temptation for approximately a minute and then go and check if he passed or failed. If he passes, congratulate him, fill in his chart, and have him inform his relatives of his successes; if he fails, follow through on your agreed punishment, and have him inform his relatives. Here is an example of how you might set a test: Leave some bags of groceries in the car. Also some change in the dashboard. Ask him to go and get the groceries. When he returns, say: “You’ve been tested!! Let’s go and see if you have passed!” It might be a good idea to set a test at your mother’s place when you are visiting, etc. You are going to have to invent situations to test him. Here is another example: When he is visiting your mother, ring her up and ask her to leave some money by the phone. Then ask her to have Hayden talk to you over the phone. Finish your conversation and then ask your mother to check if he passed or failed and ring you back immediately.

I believe that stealing is a bad habit that grows if it is fed by neglect. This programme is a way to challenge the habit and give your son a chance to prove he is worthy of your trust. A parent-child relationship without trust is a sad thing. I believe that Hayden wants to regain your trust and I have no doubt that you both wish to see that happen.

Good luck!
Yours sincerely,
David

Two months later Hayden sent me the following letter:

Dear David:
I have been honest. My mother has been testing me just about every day. The only thing I do wrong is that I like to wander off on my own and sometime I forgot (sic) to come home at the right time.
I try not to have anything to do with my old mates but it is very hard because they go to the same school.
So I passed all my tests! The hardest task was when I got blamed for breaking into a bakery shop. It was broken into Friday, Saturday and Sunday but I proved to everyone, even the people that blamed me, I was inosant (sic). Mum and Dad and me were away those days. So the only reason they blamed me was because I had a bad record. Mum and Dad were so happy for me. Mum said I could have my ear pierced so we went to the chemist. GUESS WHAT? Yes, I have a pierced ear.
Your friend,
Hayden
Intervention (ten months later)

In the previous session Cynthia mentioned to me that Hayden was having difficulties at school and she was worried that he might be expelled. I requested that she have his school teacher write to me. I received a letter two months later detailing non-compliance, disruption, answering back and violent temper tantrums which included attacks on property. The Headmistress felt that the school could no longer sustain ‘their approach of sympathy’.

I wrote the following letter:

Dear Miss Cook:

Thank you for your letter of 25/4/84. Just let me say a few things in general about Hayden’s condition. You may be aware that he recently finished his chemotherapy and is now only attending Auckland Public Hospital on a three monthly basis for review. There is no reason whatsoever why Hayden shouldn’t be treated exactly as you might treat his class mates. As far as his anger problem goes, the control he exhibited in other areas of his life indicates that there is no reason whatsoever for him to have temper tantrums. In our last meeting, Hayden informed me, in fact, he was intending to apply some of these things he had learned to control his anger. I wonder if this has had any effect yet? If it has, clearly the following should be ignored.

**HAYDEN’S ANGER CONTROL PROGRAMME**

You may not know this but Hayden has a tiger inside of him that has been used in the past to control feelings of nausea caused by his treatment. Previously, he vomited six times or more even before he received the treatment. This can be the case with children under this medical regime. He did this by learning to turn himself off. If he can turn himself off to chemically-induced nausea, he can certainly turn himself off to inappropriate anger.

Here’s how he can generalise this learning to another problem:

1. Sit down with him and discuss that if he can learn to turn off his yucky feelings with the help of his three-headed tiger, he can control his anger. And that you intend to help him REMEMBER how he did it.

2. Tell him exactly how you will remind him. In his presence, make four cards with large writing on it. They should read: i) You have a temper tantrum coming on! ii) Remember your TIGER! iii) TURN OFF your angry feelings; and iv) TURN ON pleasant feelings.

3. You are to keep these handy (I set) and Hayden is to have another set handy. If necessary, hold up Card 1 and act calm, cool and collected. If he controls himself, congratulate him: ‘That TIGER of yours is very strong ... you must be feeding him well!’ If he continues, one minute later hold up Card 2, once again acting calm, cool and collected. If he stops, congratulate him (see above) and so on.

4. After four minutes have elapsed, say: ‘Go to ... who will put your tiger suit on and give you (x minutes) to practice control on your own.’
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5. TIGER SUIT: you might like to make this with Hayden e.g. a paper bag for a tiger head, a tail made of yellow and black wool, and an old shirt spray-painted black and yellow.
6. I will leave 4 and 5 up to your discretion and what is possible in your school. His practice time could in fact be done after school.
7. Optional: If he controls his anger, secretly send him a sweet with a note: ‘This is for your TIGER. I know you are feeding him well because he gave you so much strength to stop your anger getting away from you. So here’s a treat for your TIGER. I like him very much because now I can be a much friendlier teacher to you because you can control your anger.

Please keep me informed.
Yours sincerely,
David

Hayden’s teacher assisted him to control his anger and his school teacher only had to hold up one card on only one occasion.

Follow-up (twelve months later)
DE: You came here and found out some things about your mother and father. Do you remember what it was that you learned about them?
Hayden: They worried a lot. They didn’t like me being sad. That’s about it, wasn’t it?
DE: What you said on the tape was: ‘That you learned that they could stand up to your strong worries that you had been keeping inside yourself?’ Remember you tested them?
Hayden: Yah, that’s right!
DE: And they passed the tests with flying colours. Were you surprised how strong your mother and father were?
Hayden: Yes.
DE: Do you remember where you got the idea you had to do all the worrying on your own? Do you know where you got the idea from? You kept the fact that you were having bad dreams from your mum and dad.
Hayden: I didn’t want them to worry.
DE: You didn’t want your mum and dad to worry so you did all the worrying by yourself? He’s a very strong kid. Remember I tried to convince him he didn’t have to be quite so strong?
Mother: NOT QUITE SO STRONG!
DE: He’s got a strong mother and a strong father. After you tested them, have you kept up telling them your worries or did you keep them to yourself?
Hayden: (referring to the first option) Yep!
DE: What do you think, Cynthia?
Mother: Yah, most things.
DE: He’d want to keep a few secrets. He wouldn’t want to tell you about all the
naughty things, but the important things – that’s different! Did he start doing that right away, Cynthia?

**Mother:** Yah, soon as we left here. He had a few more bad dreams but he’d tell us and we’d get up and have a drink of coffee.

**DE:** Do you remember the dreams you had where everything you were going to eat looked like a drip. You’d start looking at food and you’d see a drip.

**Hayden:** Mmmh.

**DE:** That’s why you were losing so much weight. You weren’t eating very much.

**Hayden:** It reminded me of a drip.

**DE:** So IN YOUR MIND it reminded you of a drip?

**Hayden:** So I just said I was full.

**DE:** So you tricked your parents. You really didn’t tell them what was worrying you. You just said you were full. What did you really think was going on, Cynthia? Did you get really worried?

**Hayden:** Yah! I did because it started to show in his weight loss. Quite a big weight loss!

**DE:** Yah! He came back a week later and he had gained five or six pounds.

**Hayden:** Yah! He ate like a horse that week. And he hasn’t stopped. He hasn’t lost weight and he’s grown taller.

**DE:** Then it seemed to me you got in charge of your dreams. Then you started to learn to switch off your mind and switch on movies. It seemed to me you were doing quite a bit of practising. You had a three-headed tiger. Remember that?

**Hayden:** Yah, it could walk across water and it liked chocolate.

**DE:** And remember one night you fed it a lot of mince and he ate it. Can you tell the boys and girls anything you can remember on how you learned to turn off your feelings – your yucky feelings – and turned on a movie?

**Hayden:** I just closed my eyes and think of nice, good things and not bad things. I’d just feel funny (shaking his left arm) and go somewhere else. I’d go somewhere else. And the movie would come on.

**DE:** Can you automatically do it now?

**Hayden:** I could do it here.

**Mother:** He can do it anytime ... even with his homework now.

**Hayden:** I did it last night, didn’t I, Mum?

**Mother:** Yes. Now he can turn himself off to TV and on to his homework, whereas before he’d be looking at the TV. And nothing would be right. (laughing)

**DE:** Those feelings in your stomach were still happening but he would turn them off. Can you describe for other mothers and fathers whose children might choose to learn what Hayden has learnt, what it looks like?

**Mother:** Totally relaxed. Before when he got his needles, he’d feel cold and then hot and flushed and vomiting. Once he turned himself off or on, that stopped. As soon as the needle touched his hand, he’d just go out (mother mimics trance behaviour). You could pick up and drop his legs.
Sometimes he even wet. He did that twice. He can turn off and on now whenever he chooses. They didn’t have to give more of that stuff to make him drowsy so they could give him his treatment better. Once he learned to turn himself off and on, they didn’t have to do that.

Hayden: The last treatment I stayed awake.

Mother: He stayed awake all the way through. Oh, that’s another thing...

DE: How did you do that, Hayden?

Hayden: I turned myself off inside but my outside was still awake.

DE: You learned even a better way. You turned your insides off and kept your outsides in full operation.

DE: What would you have done normally in the old days when he’d get upset and vomit a lot?

Mother: Him upset and me upset. It would be a big ordeal. And we’d end up staying the night (in Auckland) because he’d be uncontrollable and I wouldn’t be able to drive.

DE: He’d be uncontrollable in terms of his vomiting or his anger?

Mother: His anger!

DE: So the difference for you then was you could feel really confident he was in control of things and you could have some fun going shopping.

Mother: Not only that … it didn’t look like he was in pain. Before it was just throwing up, his breathing … if you didn’t watch him, he’d choke.

DE: You pretty much upset each other in a sense. That would be pretty normal for a mother to get so upset.

Mother: He taught me a lot because I learned to relax too!

DE: Can you tell people about your three-headed tiger?

Hayden: Just a tiger that I made up. A really good tiger … likes eating now … didn’t used to but it eats lots of things now. It ate up my yucky feelings. It liked them more than anything else.

DE: How did you get the tiger inside of you?

Hayden: Oh I just made it up inside of me. Before it used to lounge around, didn’t eat much and made me skinny.

DE: Did you get it to co-operate with you?

Hayden: I GOT IT CRACKING!

The ending

Some months later, it was discovered Hayden had another site for his disease. The family decided against further treatment as his prognosis was poor. Lynne O’Flaherty knew him well over this time:

When I first met the Barlow family, they appeared to be coping well with this next lot of tests. Having had so many treatments in the past, the whole family were veterans. Hayden, himself, couldn’t remember a time when he hadn’t been involved with hospitals and their personnel. He was a quietly spoken and pleasant young man who was accustomed to it all and didn’t seem worried at all about being back
again. I think the family viewed it as just one more hurdle they were going to get over and this enabled Hayden to make his own way.

Routinely, the children are weighed and their height measured. Usually it is seen as insignificant and the children and families rush to get it done, hoping they will be seen sooner if this is out of the way. Hayden's weight loss was visible and he appeared haggard and aged. He was apprehensive about being weighed and so was his mother. She was becoming worried by how little he was eating. This is not uncommon for our clients. I guess the majority of the parents are worried about their children's food intake while on chemotherapy. Some parents allege that their child has eaten almost nothing despite the evidence of satisfactory weight gains. It was not so for Hayden. And it was obvious from his mother's degree of distress and his quiet desperation and persistent weight loss that things were badly amiss. I remember one day – he'd just arrived and he had a bright eyed, haunted look and he admitted to being worried. It was here that Louise (Dr. Webster) came into the picture and you know the rest.

It seemed to me that quite quickly there was a change. He was like a kid with a secret. Hayden was never one to enlarge upon things so we were never to know. But something definitely was changing. After awhile, there was a huge difference. His gentle humour was back. He was so much more confident and self-possessed. And now he was different: more mature, more sure of himself, and there seemed to be an air of confidence about his family.

Even the relapse didn't entirely shake all this. The news that this was the end of hope for his survival was terrible but it didn't seem crushing – not for long anyway. For the period he was home there was a family and neighbourhood support group. Apparently he required and would take a surprisingly small amount of pain relief. His mother told me how he was 'refusing to give in' and was enjoying getting out and about even when it was difficult for him. His mother felt that they all drew strength from Hayden's vision.

It was always terrible for the Oncology Team to have their children die. With many of our children, it doesn't feel quite so terrible. There is a sense that they have died as complete people and not torn apart by what they had to endure. I felt this was so with Hayden.

**Cynthia Barlow remembers**

Hayden, better known to many as Hatu (or 'man') was born a healthy baby with blue eyes, blond hair. on 22/1/73. His 9lb birth weight was a good start, and he seemed to be developing normally. At the age of 18 months health problems began to appear.

When he began having convulsions, and after numerous attempts to get the doctor on duty, we decided to take Hayden to the Tauranga Hospital Outpatients. Hayden was admitted, countless tests were carried out, and after the removal of a kidney it was discovered that Hayden had cancer (Wilm'sTumour). The shock of knowing our son had cancer was devastating: numbness and confusion took
over. Talking about it was too painful; we both blamed ourselves. We questioned everything. Why did this happen? Was it caused by an infection I had overlooked; could it have been a fall he had taken while trying to walk as a baby; was it the amount of x-rays I had while pregnant; or his dad’s job working with weed control agents? We asked ourselves and the doctors these questions and many more, but no one had the answers. One thing we knew for sure was that a great task had been laid upon us and, one way or another, we would cope. For us, part of the coping process involved Hayden’s second birthday, which he spent in hospital undergoing chemotherapy and radiation treatment. Planning his birthday was all we wanted to think about, and the memories of that day remain in our minds.

Cards, flowers, presents and a birthday cake which fed the whole ward arrived at the Waikato Hospital. The biggest surprise was his two wheeler bike his grandmother had bought him. Even after his chemotherapy, which made him violently sick and sleepy, he still had the strength to ride his bike and terrorise the hospital. Our trips to Waikato Hospital were now monthly and being pregnant slowed me down, so Hayden’s Dad took time off work to help with all the extra pressures. These we managed to overcome. Once Hayden had received all the treatment Waikato Hospital could give him, he was referred back to Tauranga Hospital for monthly checkups. By this time, he was going into remission. We believed, with all our hearts, that when remission ended Hayden would be cured, but this was not to be. By the time Hayden was five years old he had spent over half of his baby years in and out of hospital.

Having Hayden home meant so much to us, and now fun and laughter filled our house. We watched our son bloom and flourish and the noise of his school friends playing was welcomed. School life and weekends away meant a lot to Hayden, for at least he was really starting to live.

But, like a nightmare, fate was once again against us. For the second time we faced that empty feeling. Hayden now had cancer of the lung, so that long road which we prayed was over, started again. Hayden was transferred to Auckland Hospital and had major lung surgery, followed by more chemotherapy and radiation treatment. By now, he was old enough to understand his illness. This brought a new challenge for us. We had to somehow tell our son the truth as we knew it. During our conversations together, we realised our son was no longer a child, but an adult in a child’s body. After numerous trips from Tauranga to Auckland, Hayden again went into remission. And once again our lives were back to normal.

Although we tried not to think about it, Hayden was going through mental and physical stress. We helped him overcome this by buying him a motor bike. His feeling of freedom riding his motor bike helped release the tension within, and enabled him to be himself. Hayden became self-sufficient, handling his own money and business affairs. He sold his 50cc bike and bought a 125cc trail bike which scared the hell out of everyone. The motor bike was bigger than him, but the decision was his, even though he was only ten years old.
Just when we thought everything was stable, we discovered that Hayden had cancer in the leg. The doctors suggested Hayden's leg be amputated, but biopsies showed that Hayden had cancer throughout his body. Amputation or chemotherapy would be useless. Our son was dying with only morphine to stop the pain. We took him home. Because Hayden's leg was so painful, he could no longer start his bike. It was a minor problem. Hayden would get his friend, Mathew, to kick it over and off they would go. After a few months, he couldn't bear to see it sitting in the shed — a motor bike was meant to be ridden. Pain, morphine and weakness made his riding days impossible.

Hayden learned to cope with many emotions and adjusting to changes in his appearance was one of his greatest hurdles. Trying to accept the fact that many things he longed to do would never be. Hayden had a collection of many things that filled his room. One of these was hats and he wore one wherever he went. Although Hayden’s life was short, he accomplished a lot more than anyone, even those older than him. He made us realise that life is too short to worry about petty things, but to live life to its fullest. When he died in his father’s arms, we no longer feared death either.

The most precious memories of Hayden we hold in our hearts are those last two years.

Hayden was loved and admired by many and those who took the time to listen to him learnt so much. Being a very unselfish and special boy, Hayden had many friends who he shared his thoughts and ideas with. The hardest task for those close to him was not being able to relieve his pain; we could not help him cope with it. The pain and torment Hayden endured no-one will ever know but we can only assume. Hayden has left us with the gift of love, patience and how to endure and understand each other. Through him, we learned closeness and the importance of friends, neighbours and family. This closeness is a life-long bond. Thank you son.

Postscript (1988)

Cynthia and Roy have a new baby now and their daughter, Rina, is growing up. I asked after Rina's white kitten which I had recalled so vividly from the first day I met the family. Cynthia said the cat had become Hayden’s pet and had always remained by his side when he was bed-bound. The day Hayden died, the cat disappeared and was never seen again.

References


(1) The ‘tiger inside you’ is taken from White, 1984.
(2) As Hayden believed tigers lived in Africa (they actually live mainly in India and China) we must guess that he knew one that was a good swimmer!
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Annals of the New Dave: status-abled, disabled, or weirdly abled

David Epston, Dean Lobovits & Jennifer Freeman
Introduction by Sharon Murphy

Introduction: The Boy Who Dared To Be Different  
Sharon Murphy (Dave’s mother)  

Dave was different from the day he was born. It wasn’t anything anyone could put their finger on but I could SEE he was different. Sure he had two arms, two legs, blonde hair and blue eyes but somehow or other I knew he was different. At school, his class-mates soon noted that he wrote differently. His attempts at writing were always back to front and despite his painstaking efforts looked like chicken scratchings. Predictably his spelling was funny like ‘enuf’, ‘kat’ or ‘qik’. Dave NEVER passed his spelling. But he seemed happy and cheerful and was always ‘doing’. It was as if he was filled with insatiable curiosity. Others would snigger behind his back and occasionally to his face – ‘Curiosity killed the cat!’ Dave was oblivious because he was happy. Most days he would wander around the playground picking things up to investigate. Teachers complimented him, thinking he was ‘tidying up’. But they were not different so failed to realise he was investigating the unknown and learning about it.

One day, he found this beautiful bottle full of the colour blue. He lifted it towards the sun to watch the sparkles dance off the bottle when it tipped all over him. Everyone began to scream - ‘Miss, Dave’s turned blue!’ He was rushed off to the sick bay and I was contacted - ‘Hurry please. It’s a chemical of some sort. He may have to go to the hospital.’ My work colleagues laughed when I told them. ‘The Blue Boy. Isn’t that a famous painting?” one quipped. I was hurt, as was my daughter seeing my hurt. Couldn’t they see that he just wanted to know and now he could be injured?

Many questions were asked by the doctors. The ‘blue’ was analysed chemically and scrutinised under a microscope. At the same time, Dave was poked and prodded. My fear drained all the colour from my face. Dave wondered what all the fuss was about and tried to tell the doctor about what beautiful sparks in the sky the bottle made. He also started to enquire how the microscope worked. Could he have a look and “what’s that thing around your neck?” Everything turned out alright in the end, but for a while Dave was clearly different on account of his beautiful blue hair.

Dave busied himself from morning to night taking things apart but not quite getting them back together again. He built wonderful, fantastical structures everywhere but forgot to put the tools away. He cooked fabulous concoctions but overlooked them when something else caught his attention. At school, he went from one thing to another. ‘He’s bright’, the teachers said, ‘but he never finishes anything’. When I ask him why, he says ‘Oh, I know that but I just had to check this out, it’s more interesting.’ His teachers despaired of coping with him and his work, so full of promise but never completed.

He doesn’t have many friends because he is different and they are not, and don’t understand him. Dave now knows he is different and copes with it. This is not to say at times he isn’t saddened and would prefer to be the same as everyone else and find acceptance.
Dave is going to grow up. He still may not spell and his writing may always be laboured. Sometimes he still may not quite get his clothes right. But I can see Dave working for an advertising agency ‘thinking up’ ideas all day, wonderful ideas like dancing sparkles in the sky. How would he get such a job, you might ask? They may very well say – ‘You’re different, Dave. You think in wonderful ways that no one else dares to.’ If Dave replied – ‘But my spelling!’ they might say - “Anyone can spell but not many people are different.’ And you, Dave, dare to be different. That takes courage!

First meeting with the “old Dave” and his family

Sharon Murphy sank into the nearest chair in David’s office and started drawing deep and audible breaths that indicated utter exhaustion and the cessation of a great effort. Vestiges of her determination to manage the behaviour of her 14 year old son, Dave, lived on in her constant but half-hearted remonstrating with him to desist from his constant flurry of activity.

Jenni, Dave’s 13 year old sister, observing that her mother’s admonishments had little or no effect, made desperate and reckless attempts to take over from her by insisting that he ‘Stop It!’ These only seemed to provoke Dave to more excess. Jenni’s seething rage was palpable. Such was the frustration of her indignant forays to reign Dave in, that she would be reduced to tears on each and every occasion. However, for Dave, the room seemed a marvellous source of novelties that he had to explore, touch, and touch again.

When there was the first break in these proceedings, David began the conversation by inquiring about Sharon’s visible despair. She bravely related to him the intolerable strain she was under as a single parent, as ‘bread winner’ for her family, and as the manager of Dave’s mind and body. She spoke of her “weariness” from having lived “on the edge” since Dave’s birth. She declared that this meeting was her “last ditch stand” to avert her own ‘nervous breakdown’ and to avoid placing both her children in foster care - a prospect which was the opposite of everything she had devoted herself to over the past fourteen years.

When he heard this, Dave ceased his activities for the first time - but only momentarily. Sharon was becoming more and more despondent as she spoke of her plans and Jenni alternated between wrath and crying. To emphasise her point, Sharon gave the example of having to delay toileting herself until after her children’s bedtime. This was due to the constant and hazardous fighting between Dave and Jenni. She told how she had been ordered by a government department to closely observe her children at all times to prevent Jenni being injured. She now considered that what was required of her was well beyond both her emotional and physical capacities.

With due respect for Sharon’s exhaustion, David invited her to take a break from trying to discipline Dave for the time being. The moment she relaxed her vigilance Dave threw himself to the carpeted floor and began rolling over and over around his room. Despite this, David and Sharon were able to maintain a semblance of a conversation. Jenni, however, continued her expostulations to cease his activity without any success whatsoever.
After a while, David essayed a conversation with Dave, who dutifully responded to his enquiries but not in a manner he was given to expect. Dave's replies were orated with dramatic and rhetorical flourishes and wild metaphorical excursions. Their sense seemed just outside the reach of David's mind but tantalisingly so! It was very frustrating for David to be quite so close (in any particular roll, Dave would often pass within inches of his feet) but be so far away. No matter how hard David tried to catch up to Dave's mind, he was unable to close the gap sufficiently to pull alongside. In spite of this, David determined to do so no matter how long it might take and no matter how far he might have to stretch his mind in doing so.

David thought of an idea that might acknowledge his understanding of Sharon's dejection and total exhaustion. He initiated it by asking her consent for a secret meeting with her children in which the three of them would 'cook up a secret'. David reassured her that although this secret would be kept from her for a specified period of time, it would be revealed to her in its entirety in due course. Sharon quickly consented, appearing somewhat relieved to absent herself from the room.

**Mother Appreciation**

When the three of them were alone, David asked Dave and Jenni what their thoughts were about the prospect of a foster care placement. They deeply dreaded the prospect but what concerned them even more was the well-being of their mother. David consulted them as to what ideas they had 'up their sleeves' to provide her with some relief. They took his question very seriously and told him they had already begun nightly foot massages for Sharon. To this news David replied, 'What I have in mind is certainly in line with your initiative! What about a Mother Appreciation Party?'

Not surprisingly, they had never heard of such an event. David readily acknowledged that such occasions are relatively rare in anyone's social calendar. They launched into an enquiry about their appreciation of Sharon and their mother's friends' appreciation of her.

For the first time since they arrived, Dave, Jenni, and David were able to collaborate over a shared concern – mother appreciation! The effect was dramatic. Dave was attentive and Jenni regained her good spirits as they agreed to 'plot a surprise Mother Appreciation Party'.

When they reviewed their shared knowledge about parties in general, they realised they had a lot ahead of them such as deciding on the guest list, catering, baking the Mother Appreciation cake and of course, their respective Mother Appreciation speeches. Hearing the last proposal, Jenni's eyebrows spontaneously raised almost to her hair line. They fell back into place when David quickly reassured her that he would be only too glad to assist. 'How?' they asked in unison and he replied, 'Why, I will ask you 'mother-appreciating' questions so you can come up with mother-appreciating answers! And then all you will have left to do is to roll them up into your speech!'
Despite their uneasiness with this project, Dave and Jenni agreed to proceed with the planning one step at a time with the speeches coming last. As a Mother Appreciation Party was a complicated undertaking, they agreed to “scheme together in secret” over the next few meetings and decided against any deadlines. Everyone agreed that when they were ready it would be only too obvious. David now felt able to risk seeking their consent to ask them a very serious question that he had been burning to ask.

A Burning Question

‘Before I ask you this question,’ David began, ‘I want some reassurance from both of you that you will promise not to even consider answering it until you have heard it out to the very end.’ If their facial expressions were anything to go by they considered this request somewhat odd, but nonetheless they agreed. ‘Well, here goes,’ David resumed, ‘By any chance, do you think you are weirdly abled?’ Before they could respond David leapt to the edge of his chair, holding his palms outwards and admonished, ‘Hold on! Hold on! You promised!’ Then he continued: ‘By that I mean, are you so abled that many adults and kids your ages believe you are WEIRD when in fact you are WEIRDLY abled?’ They both looked quite miffed. Jenni piped up: ‘What do you mean by that?’

To answer this important question, David read them Emily Betterton’s (Freeman, Epston & Lobovits, 1997) published account of ‘weirdly abledness’ (p179-182). After doing this he took a Lynda Barry cartoon out from his desk entitled Marlys’ Guide to Weirdies (1993). In this cartoon the following guidance is offered: ‘Third knowledge is the first time someone calls you a weirdo. You about start crying, or you sock them in the stomach, or you act even more weirdo, or you just sit there and don’t do nothing, or you get happy because at least someone is talking to you. But Fourth knowledge is people get fascinated by you. That’s why they buy you. But it’s not your first pick of a kind of fascination of you. You will pray to God to take it back but God is busy. What is he busy doing? Making more weirdos. He’s a weird God.

Fifth knowledge is when sometimes you meet a man or a lady who is a grown up weirdo - the good kind - and they know you. Even if they never saw you before, they know you and say HiHiHi and your whole life can change - even if you know them for only around one week. My brother Freddie who drew the pictures on this has got a teacher like this, Mrs. LeSense. I go with him early to her room every morning and we open the window shades for her. Her face is always happy to see us, I love her. She cured my brother’s life. This is a true story.’ (1)

Both read their copy of the cartoon in silence. If anything it was like sealing a pact. They both were determined to show it to their mother and their social worker.

A brief summary of the second meeting and accompanying letter

Dave and Jenni were excited to relate the responses of their mother and the social worker to the cartoon. It wasn’t long before David turned to Sharon and asked her if
she was of the opinion that Dave and Jenni came to their ‘weirdly abledness’ through ‘nature or nurture’? For the first time since David had met her, Sharon then broke into laughter and began to tell stories about various members of the Murphy Family. David led a very detailed enquiry into the history and genealogy of the ‘weirdly abled’. It took up the entire meeting and then some.

Dear Dave, Sharon and Jenni,

With all your help, I have started to more fully understand the history and genealogy of the “weirdly abled” in your family and it certainly seems to go a long way back. Dave, you told me that sometimes you’re happy with your mind and that sometimes you’re not. You said that “unpredictability” was a strong feature of how your mind works. You said, “No one knows what I’m going to do next - it can be good or bad.”

What was clear was that you took your place in the family lineage when you stated that “I’ve got a mind of my own.” I certainly agree with you there. You left me with no doubts whatsoever. Sharon and Jenni, you fully concurred.

Dave, you went on to say that over the last two years you “have been teased a lot” and that you have been using confusion tactics on the teasers. However, there are those who understand you and appreciate you as a “weirdly abled” young man - like last year’s math teacher, Mr Johnson. You said, “He is the only one who understands me.” But Jenni and Sharon disagreed because they thought they had a pretty good understanding of you too. Dave, had you just taken them for granted?

Sharon, you thought Mr Johnson was able to understand because he “has a daughter with ADD” and he has had teaching experience with some twins with ADD. In addition, Sharon, you were of the opinion that “his approach is different - he is interested in the person and doesn’t care about spelling.” Dave, you added, “He knows I’ve got a great sense of humour.” Do you suspect that in his own way he is “weirdly abled” too, but because he is a teacher he has to tone it down? What do you think?

When I realised that you, Sharon, had also always been “weirdly abled”, you spoke of the effects this had had on your life. You told me that you were “an advanced and lateral thinker as a child but that you were ignored a lot as a girl.” Instead of acknowledging and cherishing you for you, you told us that some members of your family “didn’t know what to do” with you. Sharon, you told me the tragic story of how your mother has “never been” your mother, that you “offended her as a baby” and that you “don’t know what it was” that did this. Sharon, do you think, on reflecting, that your mind was too fast for hers? That you, in a manner of speaking, were always ahead of her or out in front of her?

Then I asked you, Sharon, that if you knew what you know now, and could have been there as an adult in your little girl life, what would you have done differently? You said: “I would have put more pressure on my parents to allow me to be with both sets of grandparents. Both sets had expressed a desire to adopt me.” Sharon, is that because your grandparents knew that you were “weirdly abled” and not weird? Were they not afraid of you? Were they more encouraging rather than discouraging? You told me how Grandma Peg insisted when you were 8 that you bought Jane Eyre even though people...
doubted you were capable of it. Do you think she knew you better than anyone else? Sharon, was it because she recognised herself in you that she knew what you knew?

Sharon, you summed up your experience of both sets of grandparents when you said: “They encouraged me”. They appreciated your mathematical gifts when they learned that at age eight you could add faster than a cash register. They understood that you were “mathematically abled”. Sharon, did you realise quite quickly that you had a fast mind? Do you suspect that people with slower minds than yours resented the quickness of your mind?

Jenni, you agreed with Sharon’s opinion of Grandma Peg by saying “she’s cool”. And Dave you had this to say and from you I would consider it a great compliment: “She has the best sense of humour I’ve ever heard”. Coming from a pretty witty guy, that seems high praise! Sharon, you also commented that Dave has inherited his Grandma’s “dramatic nature and humour” and Dave, you put on a pretty good show to demonstrate this and you told us some of her quips. Then Dave, you informed us that your humour was the key to understanding you. And Sharon, from a wider perspective, informed me about the importance of the Murphy sense of humour.

Sharon, you then acknowledged that your “weird abilities” have been something of a mixed blessing for you. You were happy to acknowledge that your weird abilities helped your scholastic abilities which were very good - maths in particular. You described yourself as “mathematically gifted”. Dave you said that you were “trying to turn my abilities to my school work” but that it wasn’t easy for you. Jenni, you seemed to find it relatively easy to do so. You were lucky in this regard I suspect.

We then went on to review what seemed at least in your eyes, Sharon, to be a “miracle”. After our last meeting, Jenni and Dave went “two days without fighting”. And this was not a mere allegation but testified to by all concerned parties: Dave, Jenni and yourself.

Jenni, you were amazed by this but you said “I was trying to see how long we could go for”. Jenni, would you have believed that you could go for one hour without fighting? Dave, when I pressed you for some explanation for this change of fortune you said: “We were working together.” Dave, does that mean under normal circumstances you are working against each other? Dave, what did you prefer: working together or against each other? Jenni and Dave, haven’t you got enough people against you already? Or do you think I am getting too preachy here? Let me know when we meet again. Jenni, you summed up the two “miracle” days by saying they were “good”. Jenni, why do you say that?

Jenni and Dave, you thought you might go a week this time but Dave, you said in a Taurean way that if I told you to be co-operative, that would be like a red rag to you. So I was quite neutral here and kept my opinions to myself. You both insisted that “if we get up to a week, you’ve got to reward us.” I said I would, but in a “weirdly abled” way. So don’t expect anything conventional because if you did, you would be a lot less ‘weirdly abled” than I believe you all to be.

I agreed with you, Dave and Jenni, that we should set aside half the next meeting to go over the “secret”. Once again, Sharon, let me explain that although we do have a
"secret", it will be divulged to you in full in due course. Please be patient with us. There is no malice whatsoever in our “secrecy”.

Yours Respectfully,

David

Third meeting
By their third meeting, Sharon was of the opinion that “it’s touch and go with me to keep going” and “I still need to warn them about foster homes”. However, she was glad to report that “they are getting on better and are not at each other with knives and daggers. They are appreciating each other more”.

The miracle had now endured for an entire week. The rest of the meeting was taken up conspiring about the imminent Mother Appreciation party.

Fourth meeting
At their fourth meeting a month later, after reviewing recent developments, it was agreed by Dave, Sharon, Jenni and David that a report would be written to the statutory agency that was funding the therapy. This report was required in order for the therapy to continue to receive funding. They all agreed at the outset that the report was something that they would ‘all do together’.

This report, or ‘counter document’ (White & Epston, 1990) not only served as a request for funding, it more importantly verified that Dave and his family were problem solvers. This was the first entry into the file on Dave in particular, and the Murphy family in general, in which they participated as authorities on their own lives. It proudly took its place in their file, which was already the size of a phone book and filled with the documentation of problems.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS: Child and Young Persons Service of New Zealand
From: David Epston
Re: Progress report with the Murphy family
As agreed, I am providing you with an account of the meetings so far with Dave, his sister, Jennifer (Jenni), and his mother Sharon. It seems to be a particularly timely point for a review of our meetings. We have welcomed the opportunity to provide you with this record of them.

Jenni contends that ‘Dave has been a lot better and we don’t fight as much’. Before our first meeting, according to Jenni, fighting consumed 50% of the time she and Dave were in each other’s presence. Sharon estimated it at 75% of the time and Dave at 100% of the time. Jenni now estimates that the current level of fighting is about 1% of the time. Dave’s estimate is 10% and Sharon deemed it to be ‘within normal boundaries’.

I have attempted to establish how Jenni and Dave reduced fighting so substantially. Jenni’s explanation is: ‘I don’t choose to pick fights with him. I wanted to see how long we could go without fighting.’ Neither Jenni nor Dave
were willing to disclose the specifics of what they referred to as ‘our strategy’ for reducing fighting. However, Dave has stated that ‘if we sold our strategy to the United States, we could be millionaires’.

Dave was willing to comment that when he ‘saw Jenni trying ... I tried’. He also said: ‘I figured out one simple thing - I could be bullied into fighting. I looked at fighting as if it were homework’. Like homework, Dave was very reluctant to do it. Sharon was of the opinion that it had a lot to do with them finding ‘that we had to work together because we wanted the ‘Mother Appreciation’ party’. And they found that co-operation wasn’t so bad after all and in fact was quite enjoyable. I suppose any reader might be curious to know what a ‘Mother Appreciation’ might be and what it might entail.

Well, Dave and Jenni acknowledged that they were very worried for their mother and her psychological well-being. They feared that on the one hand she was nearing a ‘breakdown’ and on the other that they would be placed in foster homes. Consequently, they decided to take it upon themselves to plan a surprise party for their mother in order to fully appreciate her and so that her friends could also have an opportunity to do the same. This required considerable guile on their part to keep their planning and preparations a surprise, but they were able to pull it off. Although they spent some time with me preparing their respective ‘mother appreciation’ speeches, embarrassment and shyness got the better of them and they decided against giving their speeches. Nonetheless their mother basked in the appreciation of her.

To summarise, Sharon has assessed that the situation at home is ‘a heck of a lot better’ and that ‘it couldn’t have gone on. They would have ended up in foster homes. It was touch and go. I was worried about them 100% of the time. I couldn’t leave them for a split second. In fact, I couldn’t even get through going to the toilet because I feared for their safety’. Dave agreed that he too was a bit scared that he would harm his sister: ‘I didn’t know if I could stop it’. Sharon believed that Jenni feared for her safety as she had overheard her daughter saying so.

Dave recommends that the sessions be extended. He said that he ‘still has some fits of anger and although I’ve come a long way, I’ve still got a long way to go’. He wanted me to add: ‘I thank you (the funding agency) for getting us this far and helping me to stop myself from fighting with Jenni’.

This report has been read and signed by Dave, Jenni and Sharon who in signing their names agree both generally and specifically to its content.

After this fourth meeting, no mention of Sharon ‘breaking down’ and fostering Dave and Jennifer was ever made again.

Fifth meeting
Following their Mother Appreciation Party and the letter to renew the funding, David got very busy knowing Jenni’s and Dave’s minds at the same time as Dave and Jenni got equally busy knowing their own minds. Admittedly
there were surprises for all of them, but all of the surprises turned out quite pleasantly. In spite of other people's opinions about her that would have caused her to doubt herself in the past, Sharon became more and more comfortable trusting in her 'weirdly abled' parenting.

There was one notable occurrence. Dave's knee-tapping was getting faster and faster when David leaned over towards him and placed his hand above his knee. He inquired: 'Can you feel your knee touching my hand?'

Dave replied that he could. David then asked, 'Were you aware that your knee was going up and down before I placed my hand above it?' Dave and David realised for the first time that he didn’t.

This seemed significant so David asked some more questions: 'Does your body have a mind of its own? Does it pay you any mind? Does it ignore you? Is this a sign of disrespect?'

Everyone agreed that such a project of inquiry would be a matter of concern for the future of the therapy.

Letter sent to Dave after the fifth meeting

dear dave:

i really enjoyed talking to you the other night. it all began when jenni started the ball rolling by saying: “dave has made a tremendous effort to keep out of my room. he learned really quickly. he did it because he was being polite. i think dave is a whole lot nicer”. your mum also agreed that “dave has made a concerted effort”. she told us how relieved she is that she can now stand back from “the edge” that she has been on for so long.

we then all became aware, even you dave, that it was possible that the self-control you had been exercising could be “the first of the summer wine”. when i asked you about this, you had a very good explanation indeed: “something in my brain knocked something over which changed the gear into behaviour mode”. when i asked how long it would last, you thought it might endure for another week or two. however, sharon recalled one summer in which you went throughout it self-controlling yourself. can you remember that summer, dave? was it a summer to remember? certainly, it seems so for your mum.

sharon, you referred to 1989 as “the summer of self-control”. your mum remembers that she did a lot of work with you like gardening. and this seemed to have helped you be in control of your body and mind. we also talked about how when you look after auntie sue, you bring yourself under your own self-control. that also connected with “duty day” and the way you looked after the teachers.

here are some questions that i have for you, dave:

1. how does physical activity, e.g. gardening, bike riding, lead to you to being self-controlling?

2. how does looking after people lead you to be self-controlling?

dave, i felt very sorry for you when you told us how at times your mind and body seem to have minds of their own and don’t pay you any mind. and at times as you
said, “I’m not aware they are doing it”. Dave, is it time for this “therapy” of ours to put all our heads and WEIRD ABILITIES together in order to assist you in some weirdly enabled way to have more of your mind and body under your self-control???
I hope we will be able to talk together about this next time. I look forward to such a discussion.
Yours sincerely
David

This letter marked a transition. The Murphy family was now engaged on its own ‘weirdly abled’ terms to address a persistent problem in their lives. It was time for a less ‘weirdly abled’ therapist to sit back and see what they came up with.

Letter sent to Dave after the sixth meeting

Dear Dave:

You said that the last letter “had a good feeling”. I am glad you thought so as I did too. And what was even better was that we continued to have a good conversation yesterday. I hope you felt that I was able to keep up with you at long last, although I may never get an “Irish sense of humour”. In that regard, I may be a lost cause.

When I asked if you were able to make your self-control endure, you said that “it had switched on and off during the week”. When I inquired as to how you understand what switched self-control off and on, you said that “tiredness and grumpy people switch off self-control” and “happiness and food switch on self-control”.

Sharon you added that “a great deal of physical activity” plays an important part in self-controlling ways. Sharon, you observed that when Dave is exercising his self-control, this has the effect of you experiencing him as “a good son and a good friend”.

Dave, you seemed pleased to hear your mum say this. You then went on to tell me some things that were pretty new to me: “To a “weirdly abled” person with ADD, the tables are turned. Physical activity will wind up most normal people but in me physical activity will relax me and make me more self-controlling”. Can you understand that wasn’t immediately comprehensible to me? When I asked how long you had been aware of this, you said that “the theory has been there for the past 2, 3 years but I didn’t know it was there.”

Dave, do you think we have hit upon something important if you are to have more of a self-controlling life and less of a life controlled by ADD?

Dave, does such a prospect appeal to you? Do you think it would appeal to your mum and Jenni?

Dave, do you think you might develop an anti-ADD practice from the theory that you have had for the past 2,3 years?

Dave, would you do so by some inimitable “weirdly abled” ways and means?

Dave, do you think I think you will come up with some predictable or unpredictable ways of making a self-controlling practice out of your “theory”?

Dave, what bearing might it have on your life if it became a more self-controlled life rather than an ADD-controlled life?
Dave, how would you try out such a practice in your life? Or would you prefer to surrender this life-time to ADD and live your life out according to ADD?

Dave, we then got talking about your self-pride, something ADD has never been able to take away from you. Dave, can you imagine where you would be today if it had? I would hate to think about it. You put your self-pride down to you and your family’s “Irish sense of humour”. Can you understand now why I have come to respect your “Irish sense of humour” so much more than when I first met you?

Still, you said that you had to quote “build up” your pride in the face of a lot of teasing. You considered that it was very advantageous that you had “a proud mother”. When I asked you, Dave, what you were most proud of, you said, “At school, I have overcome the hurdle of teasing”.

Sharon, you have undertaken quite a unique form of parenting, one that I expect plays quite a part in Dave’s and Jenni’s self-pride. You told me, “I have always challenged their minds. I was on my own for so long, I have always treated them as equals”. And I understand that many people really don’t respect you for having done this. Does that have to do with Dave and Jenni not being deferential to adults? Does that get them into trouble with some adults?

Merry Xmas and can we look forward to a more self-controlling 1996? I trust so.

David

Seventh meeting

At this point, David had thickened an alternative story by enriching its counter-plot (‘a self-controlling life’) at the expense of the Problem’s plot (an ADD-controlled life). Everyone agreed it was time for the next step - to let everyone know about the new direction in which Dave was taking his life. This included those who cared about him (friends, family) and those who had been concerned about him, including the numerous professionals he had met over the course of his life. David interviewed everyone about Dave’s claim pertaining to his ‘new Dave’ with the express purpose of preparing an affidavit for Dave to swear and Sharon and Jenni to testify to as being an accurate and valid alternative version of his life.

The process of creating the affidavit began with David asking questions and then typing in replies, re-reading the text and having the speaker confirm them. Although this may sound laborious, David ‘dug up’ the history of a ‘self-controlling life’ and the ‘new Dave’ constituted through it. Good progress was made by the end of this visit but it looked like several more meetings would be required before the document was ready to be ‘sworn’. Dave, Jenni and Sharon took home the first draft (the complete version is included later in this chronicle).

Eighth meeting summary and “consulting your consultants” (2)

Interview segment

This meeting showed the alternative story in the making. Dave continued to unravel his own account of the ‘new Dave’ and in this way continued to develop a draft of his preferred (auto) biography.
At the same time, in this interview with the Murphy family, David's mind began to show signs of stretching out to meet the concerns and experiences of all of the family members. The conversation nimbly weaved its way between each of their three individual thematic strands. The conversation reflected the family's unique style of communication and as such, it moved quite fast. It is interesting to contrast this interviewing style to the one in the letter following meeting 2 where one theme is externalised and discussed with everyone.

To begin with David took the chance to consult with Dave about what he believed had helped him become a new person: ‘Dave, my question is this. Did the fact that you started feeling you were becoming your new person have anything to do with you recognising along with your mum and your sister that you come from a long line of weirdly abled people and that you have a great deal to be very proud of?’

Sharon clarified the question for Dave: ‘What he means is did recognising what Grandma Peg is like, and what Nana Holland was like, and what Granddaddy was like, and what Uncle Kevin was like, did that effect your decisions? Did you realise you weren’t so strange after all? Did that help you realise that you were you and that you came from a long line of people that were like this!’

‘Yah . ’ Dave replied.

‘How did knowing that you come from a long line of talented people lead to you decide to become a ‘new Dave’?’ David followed.

Dave began by joking: ‘Well, I don’t exactly want to get myself into the financial poo that most of my relatives have got themselves into’ But then his family pride set in: ‘But if they could do what they have done and still come out on top, I COULD DO IT’.

A hopeless case

David then said, ‘Can I ask then - before that, did you feel you were a hopeless case?’ Dave shook his head vigorously in agreement.

‘You did!? ’ David exclaimed, ‘If I am putting too much question-pressure on you, tell me - it’s just that I am interested. This could be pretty important to other people. Who do you think thought you were a ‘hopeless case’?’

‘Ten or twenty people.’

‘What hospitals did you go to or treatments did you receive?’

Dave hesitated for a moment and his mother jumped in to pick up the narrative: ‘Psychiatric hospital for 5 months; a residential treatment centre for a year; another residential treatment centre for 9 months. He then lived with his father and had a case worker and then went to live in a foster home for a year. He came back to me at 11.’

‘What age was he when you lost him?’ David asked her trying to do the math in his head.

‘When he was nine, almost ten.’ Sharon replied, ‘Then he had a psychiatrist and then we had nobody.’
David turned to Dave: ‘Did you get the impression that a lot of people thought you were a hopeless case?’
‘Yah.’ he shouted.
Sharon interjected: ‘But most people give me the impression that I am a neurotic old bag that makes a nuisance of herself over nothing.’
‘Did anyone take an interest in the fact that you were weirdly abled? Or that Dave was weirdly abled? Or Jenni?’ David asked Sharon.
‘No. . . no.’ Sharon replied.
‘They preferred their version of you as a neurotic rather than as a weirdly abled person?’
‘They just treated the symptoms.’
The rest of the interview included explorations of the steps Dave had taken in terms of physical exercise - including swimming and starting a lawn mowing business - and discussions about changes to Dave’s diet and the effects of these changes on self-control. Importantly, time was also spent talking with Jenni about the effects the changes in the family were having on her life. It was acknowledged that Jenni also needed appreciation for the changes that were taking place. Now that Jenni could stop spending so much of her time worrying about her mother, everyone spoke about whether she would now be able to have a bit more fun.

Letter written to the Murphy family after the eighth meeting
Dear Dave, Jenni and Sharon:
It was really great to catch up with you all in 1996. It made me wonder if 1996 mightn’t be a very interesting year for each and everyone of you. And especially for you, Jenni.
Dave, your “new Dave” really has taken off this year, although it’s clear that he had made an appearance around December last year. Do you think your “new Dave” is in a partnership with your new self-controlling ways? Why I ask this is:
1) if your Problem was still controlling your body and mind, how could you possibly have initiated a lawn mowing round?
2) if your Problem was still controlling your body and mind, how could you possibly have committed yourself to organised sports and gaining a Duke of Edinburgh medal?
3) if your Problem was still controlling your body and mind, how could you have determined the prospect of a B+ or A in Phys. Ed?
Is the “new Dave” also in partnership with the family tradition of “can do, no matter what”? Did you take hope from the accomplishments of those who came before you in your family? Did the “old Dave” believe he was a hopeless case? Were the Problem and hopelessness partners? Dave, do you wonder what would have become of you if your mum had given up hope in you too? Do you wonder what would have happened if she had believed she was a “neurotic old bag” rather than believing she was a “weirdly abled” parent?”
Sharon, do you think your “weirdly abled” parenting is starting to pay off for you, Dave and Jenni? Are you glad you kept faith in your beliefs despite many others critiquing you? Do you marvel at yourself that you kept your faith in yourself for so long?

Sharon, is it possible that your life is the inspiration for Dave’s “new Dave”?

Dave, can you keep an eye out for how your “alertness” has you “learning a lot more”? Do you find such learning to your liking? Have your “weirdly able” ways kept that mind of yours from going rusty? Are food and learning connected some how or other? As I am personally interested, can you keep me in touch with these developments?

Dave, does the “new Dave” like himself more because others are liking him more? Or are other people liking him more because the “new Dave” likes himself more? Or is it a bit of both? That is something else I am personally interested in. For example, how would you explain that your supply of self-confidence has increased by 6 feet. That would be something around a 33% increase from the last time we measured, wouldn’t it?

Dave, I wish we had more time to discuss how your newfound self-confidence is having you believe in yourself more and doubt yourself less. I wish I could hear more stories like the one you told us about believing in yourself more on the trains on your way to school.

Dave, I thought it was very nice of you to have the “old Dave” back every so often, especially if things get too dull. However, you may be too busy for that with everything you are getting up to. Do you think we should make a place for the “old Dave” in our meetings? Is it fair to just dump him? After all, he has been with you for a very long time and perhaps that very fact should be acknowledged. What do you think?

Jenni, do you think it is time to pay more attention to you now that Dave is starting to pay attention to himself? Has all the worry that Dave’s Problem got you to do meant that you have got out of the practice of having fun? I would guess that fun and worry really don’t mix very well. What do you think? Sharon, what do you think? Will 1996 be a year for you all to find your way back to fun?

Yours sincerely,
David

Tenth meeting: the formal signing of the affidavit of “New Dave”

AFFIDAVIT

I started to become a ‘new Dave’ shortly after I first visited David Epston at The Family Therapy Centre in August. My mother arranged counselling through the New Zealand Child and Young Person’s Service (New Lynn). She had been convinced for some time that this was something both I and my family needed. At the time, my family was in crisis and on the point of breaking up.

At the first meeting I saw mum’s side of the story and that she was getting too distraught to cope with my Problem. I started thinking about the Problem in a different way. I had already gone through a foster home and the only thing that kept me from going insane was my mother’s letters. They arrived as regular as clock-
work once a week on Fridays. I looked forward to those letters as they were just from mum. I realised I had taken my mother for granted until I went and lived with my dad. My heart grew fonder for my mum and I sort of missed my sister, Jenni.

So the beginning of my decision to be a ‘new Dave’ goes back to 1992. But what actually brought me closer to making up my mind was that somehow or other I and my sister, Jenni, worked together secretly to organise a ‘Mother Appreciation’ party for our beloved mother. This seemed to pave the way for me to be a ‘new Dave’.

The first sign of my ‘new Dave’ was that the persistent fighting between me and Jenni stopped really overnight. I wouldn’t have believed such peace between me and Jenni could have been possible. Jenni was just as surprised as I was. This allowed me to believe much more was possible than this. My next step was stopping some annoying habits like going into Jenni’s room uninvited. This, I knew, really upset Jenni. My mother believes these changes had a lot to do with the family characteristic of just making up your mind and sticking with it. My mother has told us that without such a personal philosophy she would not have been able to get through her life. When I gave it some thought, I realised I couldn’t have put it any better myself. My mother is a very good example of the philosophy. Still, I was taken aback when I broke the ‘going-into-Jenni’s-room-without-being-invited’ habit and I did have doubts that I could do that. But I patted myself on the back mentally when I did. I think too I felt I was becoming a ‘new Dave’ in the second meeting.

The second meeting was one in which according to my mother, I ‘recognised what Grandma Peg is like and what Nana Holland was like and what Granddaddy was like and what Uncle Kevin was like’. She wondered: ‘Did you realise that you weren’t so strange after all? That you were you? And you came from a long line of people that were like this?’ I replied: ‘If they could do what they have done and still come out on top, I could do it.’ All the people I had been in contact with about my problem gave me the distinct impression that I was a hopeless case. And my mother gained the impression that as she put it, ‘I am a neurotic old bag that makes a nuisance of herself.’ No one realised that we were ‘weirdly abled’ and that we deserve to be respected for that. Although not everyone can or will understand us, my mother has become convinced however that although we have ‘very different’ ways, they have ‘worked for us’.

My next step in my ‘new Dave’ was experimenting with ways to increase the control over my body and mind. First of all, I started up a lawn mowing business and I received some feedback from my customers that my service was tidier than professionals. I joined a swimming team in order to meet some of the requirements for a Bronze Duke of Edinburgh Award. And I even decided to try in Physical Education. I also stuck at watering the garden which took me half an hour every night. My mother commented regarding my lawn mowing that I pay a lot of ‘attention to detail’. If I was the ‘old Dave’, such a business would have just gone down the proverbial drain.
My sister believes there is some substance to my ‘new Dave’ in that she noted that I now even cut our lawn within 2 hours. Before, my mother or my sister would have always ended up doing it. My sister, Jenni, has been somewhat overshadowed by my ‘new Dave’ and for that reason my mother and her decided to keep in mind how much she had invested in keeping our family going. My mother decided to pay more attention to her as she, to my way of thinking, was becoming ‘jealous’.

My ‘new Dave’ also experimented with my diet and self-control and has come up with some interesting results. For example, I have started eating a breakfast which has meant I am more alert at school and am learning more. The ‘old Dave’ would have usually just had a cup of tea and whizzed away. All this ‘new Dave’ stuff has had quite an effect on my view of myself. Before, I felt kind of like a person with a pimple on their face whom nobody likes. Then it was like this person had gone to the doctors and they put a whole lot of liquid nitrogen on the pimple, it slowly went away and people start liking the person again. David asked me if people are approaching me for my friendship and I said in reply ‘Yes. Before I would get one hullo when I walked into the class and that would be from the teacher and would be a general one to everybody. But now I am getting about two or four hullos just to me.’

You are probably wondering what effect my ‘new Dave’ is having on my mother. She is really enjoying her life. Another good thing is that my sister, Jenni, instead of worrying 75% of her worry about our mother, is in my opinion now only worrying 10% of her worry about mum and 90% about herself. We were all concerned that Jenni doesn’t know how to have fun and our therapy wants to see her allow more fun into her life. My mother has also concluded that she has not had any fun in her life.

One of the big things about my ‘new Dave’ is that I have heaps more self-confidence than when I was the ‘old Dave’. For example, I can confidently go out. I always used to be scared poopless about catching the wrong train. Now I am not always doubting myself and I am believing in my own ideas.

I know you won’t believe this, as the ‘old Dave’ never got any better marks than Ds and Es, but so far this year the ‘new Dave’ is getting lots of As and even an A+ in Maths. All up the ‘new Dave’ is getting about a B+ average.

My mother now believes that we are a really great family, a lot better than most because we have had to be so close. However, she has made it clear to Jenni and me that she now wants more of a life of her own. Jenni and I are finding this difficult but we do know how much this means to mum. She broke down and cried when she told us about it.

David thought we should provide you with a transcript of an important conversation we had together:

David: ‘Did you make any New Year’s resolutions for the ‘new Dave’? Did you say good-bye to the ‘old Dave’? Which Dave are you more at home with now?’

Dave: ‘Well, you can’t really get rid of the ‘old Dave’. The ‘old Dave’ is still lurking about. The ‘old Dave’ is really crafty. He is basically a thief.’

David: ‘What does the ‘new Dave’ do when the ‘old Dave’ sneaks back?’
Dave: ‘Sometimes it gets too dull for too long, so I think: ‘It is too dull ... I want some excitement!’ Then I let the ‘old Dave’ come back. BUT ONLY FOR A WHILE AND CONTROLLED!’

Sharon: ‘What about for five minutes per year?’

My mum wanted to add some stuff to my affidavit:

‘The most noticeable thing is the total abstinence of violence. Before it was daily and bad. We have only had two incidents in the last six months and they were not major. Squabbling is in the normal boundaries. Dave now controls himself. We are a close-knit family and my children realise that we have more than an ordinary family has. I no longer have to watch over them all the time. What proves to me that the ‘new Dave’ is new is that he does things without being asked.’

David Epston contributed to this letter by asking us questions and typing up our answers.

In our opinion the above is a faithful record of events since NZC&YPS started supporting our counselling at The Family Therapy Centre.

Signed by Dave Murphy: . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Signed by Sharon Murphy (Mother): . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
and Jenni Murphy (sister): . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Chroniclers’ summary

It has been fascinating to witness how each of the members of this family came to use their own authority and pride with the people and institutions they encounter and to identify the significance that their legacy of ‘weirdly abledness’ has played and continues to play in their lives. How many families have you met that have a clear sense of possessing a unique spirit, their own brand of humour and ‘weirdly abled’ ways? Perilously few, we suspect.

Do the ‘weirdly abled’ merit ‘weirdly abled’ therapists? Should ‘able’ therapists consider whether their practices require ‘weirdly abled’ people to ‘fit in’ to established norms? Might it be more ethically responsible to respect the ‘weirdly or uniquely abled’ for their persistence and courage to ‘stand out’?

David and the Murphy’s still meet together every so often. The conversations that they share are somewhat different now; there is more appreciation for each other, themselves, and their ways.

At a recent meeting Sharon lamented that due to her being ‘different’ all her life she has never been understood by anybody. David disagreed and pointed to Dave and Jenny. Since then Sharon has been telling her children about her history. This led Jenni to an enriched ‘mother appreciation’ and to say, ‘She looks worn on the outside but inside of her it’s like an antique shop with so many interesting and rich things scattered here and there.’

Jenni has now been admitted into the ‘largest group at school’ which she proudly calls ‘the weirds.’
She serves as philosopher to the group, providing perspective rather than advice. Advocating for 'the weirds' is sometimes a risky undertaking but it is one that Jenni sees as important.

Dave meanwhile has set himself the Herculean task of orienting his interest to the thoughts of others rather than orating his own. He was unabashed about accepting that this could very well be a life-long challenge.

Notes
* For further reference to the idea of weird and special abilities see Freeman et al. (1997), 179-192.
1. This quote has been slightly adapted for ease of understanding in this different context.
2. For further information on the “Consulting Your Consultants Interview” see Epston & White (1995).
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Mad Fax Sunday: are some virtual communities more real than virtual?

Kerry Lane, David Epston & Sue Winter

The following chapter tells the story of a therapist (KL) who was stuck, overwhelmed and isolated by a problem that seemed to defy therapeutic techniques and skills and personal commitment and determination. On the verge of giving up I reached out to access my support network. Because no other alternative seemed available at the time, this reaching out took place by fax. However, what started out as a choice of last resort revealed a range of therapeutic possibilities that we believe deserve to be shared with a wider audience.

We are submitting this chapter both as a critique of isolating and exclusionary effects of many conventional mental health practices, and as an addition to the literature on reflecting teams, audiences and communities of concern. In this instance, although necessity was the mother of its invention, it has prompted the authors to consider alternative practices to those formed on the bases of ‘privacy’ and ‘confidentiality’. It demonstrates ways that reflection and solidarity can be achieved by unconventional means, e.g. fax, letter, telephone, producing some of the same effects as set-piece reflecting team practices. None of the authors has any particular fondness for contemporary technology but this did not stop us from exploring it given the circumstances. What urgency pressed into using allowed us to consider doing the same under less demanding conditions. Although we hadn’t counted on it at the time, the mad fax ‘team’ offered us all some quite unexpected advantages. Although the critique stands, our main concern in publishing this chapter is for us to consider ‘mad taxing,’ etc. as capable of calling into being ‘communities of concern’. Such virtual communities can become very real sites where commitment, solidarity and ingenuity co-mingle.

After all, how many of us have colleagues, supervisors, and mentors, etc. who have access to a fax machine or phone? Do we have to work in isolation, especially against ‘huge’ and intimidating problems such as the ‘self-abuse’ that was threatening Sylvia’s life? Would such ‘communities’ counteract the ‘wear and tear’ of our work which in the end either bums us out or, what’s worse, leads to cynical indifference?

My initial reason for contacting David was to enquire about the ritual of inclusion. I had read about it and listened to David discuss it at workshops. I knew it was used when young people had been seriously ‘unbelonged’ by their families or caregivers I also recalled that it entailed the young person being physically held by their parents until the strength of their love and acceptance overtook the feelings of being ‘unbelonged’ or ‘wild’. Sylvia had been isolated from her family by a tragic set of circumstances rather than ‘unbelonged’. I wondered if David had ever used the ritual of inclusion in other ways that might fit this family. I really didn’t have any ideas about how this might work. Frankly, I was desperate. ‘Self-abuse’ had made a comeback into Sylvia’s life. The most recent ‘self-abuse’ episode came very close to costing Sylvia her life. I was so scared that I was ready to give up. I felt I was no match for ‘self-abuse’, even though there had been a long period where ‘self-abuse’ had been dormant. The power of the comeback intimidated me.
I wanted to consult with David and mentioned this to the family. I explained that David was a narrative therapist who I respected and trusted. They were only too well aware that I had nowhere to go but reassured me that they were relieved that, unlike their previous experiences at such critical times, I did not attempt to medicate Sylvia or have her admitted to hospital against her will. What was not usual was that we all acknowledged to each other that, although we were lost, we would not lose each other. In fact, we experienced being in league against such a frightening problem at the deepest level, even if it was sheer desperation that united us.

Original Letter written on 19th April 1996

Dear David,

I am writing seeking your advice. I am working with Sylvia, aged sixteen, who is in the midst of a dreadful battle with ‘self-abuse’. Although the battle has been going on for some time, lately it has increased in its intensity. Sylvia and I believe that this is due to our having exposed ‘self-abuse’ increasingly over the past 8 months. We are now much more familiar with its tricks and tactics and, at times, Sylvia feels that she is winning. However, it seems that when we catch a glimpse of a victory ahead, ‘self-abuse’ goes on to the offensive. This is of course to be expected, however, the battle for her life is becoming very hazardous and Sylvia, her family and I fear that Sylvia may be deceived to her death. This may sound strange so let me explain. I know you are familiar with the camps ‘Youth Caucus’ that Sharon and I facilitate on the Central Coast. Sylvia was attending her second camp a few weeks ago. She was enjoying the feelings of love and acceptance that she experienced at the camp when ‘self-abuse’ told her that she didn’t deserve these nice feelings or the connections she was making with others. ‘Self-abuse’ encouraged her to isolate herself (one of its favourite tactics) and, in doing so, she made a small but deep incision into her hand with a razor blade. Sylvia thought this would just inflict the punishment ‘self-abuse’ said she deserved and that then she would feel better. However, in doing so, the razor severed an artery and this turned into a battle for Sylvia’s life. As you can see, ‘self-abuse’ fights dirty. This incident is one of many and that is why I think we need to call for some reinforcements.

Sylvia, her family and I have traced the beginnings of this war and we all thought we should fill you in on its history. Sylvia disclosed on-going sexual abuse by a neighbour when she was ten. This man had posed as a family friend and had regularly offered to babysit. Despite his pressure to keep quiet, Sylvia drew upon her courage to speak up and the Department Of Community Services were informed. As soon as the so-called ‘neighbour’ found out about her disclosure, he fled leaving his wife living next door to Sylvia and her family. It seems the ‘wife’ was very confused about who has responsibility for sexual abuse and she began to intimidate and harass Sylvia and her family. This intimidation persisted for almost two years, increasing in its intensity. Vince and Janice (Sylvia’s parents)
went to great lengths to protect Sylvia from this intimidation, however it was unrelenting and the family recalls this time in their lives as a ‘nightmare’. They tried to transfer to another area but such arrangements take a very long time. Sylvia could no longer stand the intimidation and moved out of home to a youth refuge in another town.

As you are only too well aware, sexual abuse can make children feel extremely isolated, even when things go well after their disclosure. This isolation was intensified for Sylvia because of the further victimisation she experienced at the hands of the ‘wife’. Sylvia moved around quite a bit between refuges and friends’ homes. ‘Self-abuse’ entered her life around this time and had her make some very serious suicide attempts over the next few years. She also came into conflict with the law. Unfortunately she was held in custody on a number of occasions, not because her offences warranted this but rather as a means of keeping her ‘safe’. She also spent some time in a residential psychiatric unit where she underwent lengthy assessments and attended counselling, none of which she found helpful. To add insult to injury, she, along with another girl, was sexually abused by the coordinator of the refuge. This assault was in January last year when she was fifteen.

During this three-year period, Vince and Janice remained in close contact with Sylvia. By now they had moved house and were determined to remain connected to their daughter. Both Janice and Vince report that they were largely excluded from her life by both the refuge system and in particular the medical system. Each time they were informed Sylvia was injured or had attempted suicide, they responded immediately, only to be told that they could not see her or that the matter was confidential. I wonder if you can imagine the level of frustration they felt? On one occasion, Janice and Vince went to Intensive Care to see Sylvia after being notified she had slashed her wrists and attempted to jump off a cliff. They were refused permission to see her by a social worker who claimed she was medicated.

After a day and a half of further requests and subsequent refusals, they spoke to a doctor who informed them that the social worker had decided that their presence was not in Sylvia’s best interests. Rightly or not, Vince was so infuriated he made a lunge towards the social worker concerned. He was restrained, arrested and led away. As you can see, it seemed as if everybody was determined to ensure Sylvia’s isolation and her parents’ exclusion. I am wondering if they had made some false assumptions on the grounds of Sylvia living away from home and didn’t bother to check them out with anyone?

Despite this, Sylvia appeared in court on a minor offence and was required to live at home and be accompanied at all times by a family member in an attempt to reduce the likelihood of her suicide. It was around this time, eight months ago, that Juvenile Justice requested that I work with Sylvia. By the way, it was also her choice to return home. Since then, we have worked closely together to discover the ‘truth’ about ‘self-abuse’. At first I spoke only with Sylvia but we soon agreed
that it was necessary to more fully expose ‘self-abuse’ by inviting Janice and Vince to join us. These family meetings have been enlightening for us all. We have discovered how ‘self-abuse’ isolates Sylvia and tries to exclude her parents from her life. Neither Sylvia nor I were aware of its means to this end, e.g., she gets so ‘snappy’ that they are encouraged to allow her to go off on her own.

We shared this knowledge with the teenagers at the camp. They agreed that this was exactly what had happened just prior to Sylvia’s brush with ‘self-abuse’ there. They then decided to forbid ‘self-abuse’ from isolating her from them. They set up a tag team to accompany her wherever she went, along with the commitment not to be put off by the ‘snappy’ tactics of ‘self-abuse’. Sylvia was pleased about this as she considered that she needed her support team to be much more assertive with ‘self-abuse’ in the event of her being unable to assert herself. This was a great success for the remainder of the camp.

However, the battle continues at home with ‘self-abuse’ taking more and more liberties with her life, e.g., the other night Sylvia was encouraged to cut herself in front of Vince. This was without precedent as ‘self-abuse’ previously always had to isolate her from her family before taking control of her.

Consequently, we fear for Sylvia’s life, and I felt that ‘the home team’ needed to be more assertive with ‘self-abuse’ just as the ‘camp team’ had. I spoke to Steve Armstrong in consultation and he suggested I talk to you about the ‘ritual of inclusion’. He was of the opinion that it was very important to let ‘self-abuse’ know once and for all that its messages that Sylvia ‘does not belong’ and ‘is not accepted’ are utterly untrue, and that the ‘home team’ would be only too willing to prove it beyond reasonable doubt. I explained what I knew of the ritual to Sylvia and her family and they were interested to be better informed. A return to the medical system is not an option they even want to consider. They also agree that it is time for some dramatic action on our part to match that of ‘self-abuse’. For this reason, they are willing to try almost anything that might help them save their daughter from ‘self-abuse’.

David, I will be showing this letter to Sylvia and her family before I fax it to you. I know you are going to America on Tuesday so I will try to contact you before then seeking your advice.

I hope you are well and happy and I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards, Kerry Lane

Janice, Vince and Sylvia edited the letter on Friday, 19th April, and I promised to try to get the letter to David before he went to America on Tuesday, 23rd April. In line with my promise I went into my office on Sunday 21st April at about 1.30pm and faxed the letter to David’s office. I hoped that David would receive the letter prior to leaving for America and might write to us while he was away.

I was rather taken aback when my office phone rang just as I had faxed him and it was David. He told me that by chance he was in his office preparing to go overseas and seeing how urgent the situation was he could not help but
respond immediately. Both intensity and speed became the hallmarks of our mad fax Sunday. He suggested we exploit what technology we had - we would link up our two fax machines and I would arrange a phone connection with the family. Fortunately they had two phone lines and agreed for Sylvia to have one of her own and Vince and Janice would share the second line. David then faxed comments and questions through and I would ring the family reading David’s fax out loud. A discussion followed between the four of us preparing their comments back and responses to the questions. Then I would hastily type up their comments and fax them back to David who was waiting expectantly for them. This went on for two or three hours. I am convinced that the rapid responding, which at times seemed dizzying to us all, played a large part in such an unusual and generative trans-Tasman conversation.

David’s Fax No.1 21st April 1996, 1:59pm

I just arrived to work moments after your fax got through here. And I have just read through once and I must confess to being greatly distressed. But, I thought I should just start writing Sylvia, Vince and Janice. Before I do let me just say this. I know we have never met in person but I want you to know, even from this distance, I very much wish that I could be part of a response team, as it sounds as if every one of you has been abused. Moreover, your family has been abused in so many ways. I think it would only be distressing for me and for all of you to catalogue the abuses, some of them arising out of what I assume to have been ‘good intentions’ e.g., the conduct of ‘helping people’ forbidding you to be near to and with Sylvia at her darkest moments. If they knew what Kerry and I know, I feel sure they would offer you abject apologies.

Vince and Janice, have you got the idea that people believed you were to blame? Sylvia, did you get the idea that your mother and father were to blame?

Friends, I am also very interested to know more about your experience. Sylvia, at the camp when the tag team was set up to keep you company when ‘self-abuse’ was trying to have you all to itself, were you happy that they got more assertive with ‘self-abuse’ when you no longer could assert yourself? When you think back, did it have anything to do with ‘SELF-RESPECT’? Why I ask is that in my experience with ‘self-abuse’ it can’t operate very well in the face of ‘SELF-RESPECT’. Have you found that in your experience of ‘self-abuse’? When you had your tag team, did they show you RESPECT in any way? And if so, did their RESPECT for you ignite your own SELF-RESPECT? Sylvia, could ‘self-abuse’ have thrown off their RESPECT for you? In a manner of speaking, did the tag team wrestle ‘self-abuse’ into defeat, at least for the time being? If you are anything like I am, I know my own SELF-RESPECT can sure come and go.

Get back to me as soon as you can through Kerry. I am very upset and angry reading what has befallen you Sylvia and you, Vince and Janice. I very much want to do something to bring some measure of justice into your lives.

Yours respectfully, David
I phoned the family who answered David’s questions from Fax No. 1. I then faxed David with their responses.

**Reply to Fax No. 1**

Vince and Janice believed that people did think they were to blame for what was happening to Sylvia. In fact, the ‘wife’ and the ‘abuser’ blamed Sylvia for the sexual abuse and they accused Janice and Vince of not being able to control their promiscuous ten-year-old. Also Vince feels that the social workers assumed that he had actually been the perpetrator as they treated him in that way and refused to even speak to him.

Sylvia was always clear that her parents were not to blame but did sense that others were blaming her family.

Sylvia was happy that the ‘camp team’ became more assertive as she feels ‘safer’.

She did feel better about herself as a person and this was a result of their caring for her.

**David’s Fax No.2, April 1996, 2.27pm**

Dear Friends:

Vince, Janice and Sylvia, if you were all 100% convinced that you were not to blame and that the perpetrators were to blame, would that cleanse your hearts for what lies ahead of us? Why I ask is that I suspect what lies ahead of us will require us all to be clean of heart. Sylvia, knowing that others blamed your mum and dad, did that in any way make you doubt their love for you? Did you feel less beloved by them?

Sylvia, can you help me understand how the ‘camp team’ made you feel safe? Was it their confident manner? The way they looked at you? Did anyone have tears in their eyes? Did you suspect they know what ‘self-abuse’ was about? HOW DID YOU KNOW THEY WERE YOUR TEAMMATES? How did you feel better about yourself when they cared for you? I know it was a result of their caring but how did you feel better? Can you explain that to me in words? I am very interested to understand this better. I don’t mean to bug you about it though. What would you say were the reasons for the ‘tag teams’ defeat of ‘self-abuse’ even if the defeat did not last your lifetime?

I am really glad to get to know you all and thanks for allowing me into your lives at this time.

Yours respectfully, David

**Kerry’s reply to Fax No.2**

Janice and Vince do feel 100% convinced that they were not to blame.

Sylvia did, at times, doubt their love for her and sometimes still does. This doubt used to be about 65%. Now the doubt is about 20%.

The ‘camp team’ made Sylvia feel safer because - yes, they seemed confident and they were committed to doing it. Yes Kerry was crying. This was a sign that
she cared for me but was a bit worrying. Some of the other kids did know about 'self-abuse' but it wasn't present at the camp for them. I can't explain how their caring made me feel better IT JUST DID!

At the camp, it was purely that people from the ‘tag team’ were there all the time that ‘self-abuse’ was defeated. Sylvia feels that there could have been other reasons for the defeat but she can’t think at the moment.

Sylvia’s aunt and uncle have just arrived for Sunday lunch and therefore it will be hard to continue the discussion but they are keen to hear more from you and feel supported by your interest. Can we resume this by mail or when you get back? Do you think the ‘ritual of inclusion’ is appropriate?

Any other question you think would be helpful for our enquiries?

**David’s Fax No.3. 21st April 1996, 3.44pm**

I am very relieved to learn that you, Vince and Janice, are 100% convinced you are not to blame. It is good to meet people such as yourselves who can keep their faith in what they know is right and what they know to be wrong. Compare yourselves to the perpetrator and his ‘wife’.

Sylvia, it was really interesting to me to learn that you have reduced your doubt in your parents' love for you by 45%. It must have been awful for you when you weren't so sure of their love for you as you are now. When you feel the FORCE of their love, is that a bit like feeling their RESPECT for you. Are love and RESPECT sort of the same to you? Can you ... YET AGAIN ... help me understand why of late you have got back 45% belief in their loving of you? (Sorry to pester you about such things!) Are you doing something different? Are they doing something different? Or are you both doing some different loving things to and for each other? Did 'self-abuse, in a manner of speaking try to talk you out of the fact that you are their beloved daughter? If so, why are you now listening to Vince and Janice's loving of your very own self?

**Camp Team Questions**

When you say they were 'confident, Sylvia, do you mean they were confident that you are a good person, that you are worthy of their (and anyone else's) respect or that you should live your life through to your old age? Can you guess why they were so committed to be on your ‘team’? Does that tell you anything about yourself that ‘self-abuse' would disagree with? Sylvia, what do you think Kerry was crying for? Was she crying for your life? Was it a bit worrying to know she would cry for your life? How much do you think she would cry if 'self-abuse' executed you?

Does the caring of other people make you feel better in general? Does 'self-abuse' tell you that you don't deserve to be cared for and that you are worthless? Do you have any sense that 'self-abuse' is a form of abuse? Can you think if there could have been other reasons for the defeat of 'self-abuse' at the camp now that you have had more time to think about it? I admit these questions aren’t easy ones! They are about life and death and not many people your age can look ‘death’ DOWN UNDER.
in the eyes and say ‘no’ to it. Sylvia, I think there is a lot for all of us to learn so I hope you will keep in touch with me.

I fly to North America on Tuesday for about a month and I have provided Kerry with my whereabouts. However, I may not be able to get back to you as quickly as I have today, especially with the different ‘times’ there. However, until we are back in touch, I hope you will consider me part of your ‘life’ team. From everything I know about you from Kerry and your parents, there is a lot of life in you.

Best wishes, David

I continued to work intensively with Sylvia and her family. But now we had all regained a good measure of hope and vitality and frequently each and every one of us had good cause to draw upon that.

The most important thing for both the family and me was that David said every one of you has been abused ... ‘your family has been abused in so many ways’. That had not been acknowledged by anyone before. The injustices in general and the way they had been treated had never been stated that clearly or quickly before. We all felt validated. The way I recall our conversation was as a response to David’s ‘I can see what has happened to you here ... it’s really clear ... it wasn’t your fault’. It was pertinent that he asked whether they thought it was their fault and if Sylvia thought that too. Although it was the first question, it seemed crucial to what was to unfold from that day on. Vince spoke poignantly of his belief that the mental health system deemed him to be his daughter’s perpetrator for that was certainly how they treated him. Prior to this, he had spoken in general terms such as ‘the system thinks teenagers don’t need their parents’. Now he was prepared to assert that ‘they ...... I abused my daughter and that’s why they have excluded me’.

David’s feelings of injustice seemed to match ours. It surprised us all that someone so removed from the situation so instantly recognised the injustices here. After all, the isolation and exclusion of this family had persisted for seven years. His sense of injustice and his urgency were a validation for the family and for me. Up until then, I was the only professional this family had encountered that they felt had acknowledged them, let alone their experiences. So it seemed rather wild coming from a trans-Tasman stranger.

On reflection, I realised how important it was for the family and me to co-write their story in preparation for seeking David’s advice. I didn’t realise at the time what an exercise in trust this was for Vince and Janice. After all, they had been betrayed and ‘ripped off’ by the various systems so repeatedly that it must have been very hard to expose themselves yet again to professional assessment and appraisal. I guess part of their willingness to take another risk was the desperation we shared along with their refusal to give up on their daughter. The fact that all these events took place on a Sunday demonstrated that we professionals too valued Sylvia’s life and were also determined not to surrender to ‘self-abuse’. They commented that they had never felt that sort of commitment from professionals before.
DOWN UNDER AND UP OVER

David didn’t ask different things really. He just was more detailed in his enquiries. And, in doing so, more information than had been previously elicited became available for our considerations. This new information kept us going for several weeks. I guess when it’s a matter of life-or-death, you seem to skim over detail looking for something very large to hold on to. Or at least I did. It was wonderful to be reminded of the particulars and the significance of them. With the wisdom of hindsight I now realise I was being taken over by ‘self-abuse’ as well. It seemed at the time that nothing else was important because it loomed so large over each and every one of us. Returning our focus to the detail of our achievements renewed our commitments to each other. It was a great relief to be honest. Once again, we were addressing ‘self-abuse’ without allowing it to dominate us. David never lost his intensity throughout the whole afternoon and, as time went by, our motivation to continue to deal with this ‘huge’ problem was revived. Here was someone who didn’t sound defeated nor did he consider this a lost cause. We all regained both our hope and commitment for Sylvia to live on in her life.

Perhaps part of the magic was that David was a stranger, heard when I read his faxes over the phone, but unseen. Sylvia who had been so isolated and distanced by ‘self-abuse’ was quite perplexed that there was someone who just dived into the deep end of events in her life, seemed unafraid and certainly wasn’t writing her off. Not only that but ‘self-abuse’ had no opportunity to isolate her from David. He wasn’t a physical presence. Under normal circumstances, I would have predicted she would have spent a fair bit of time giving him ‘stay away from me’ signals in order to remain isolated. Here, she didn’t have to push him away because he was already away. To some extent, there was no way for ‘self-abuse’ to isolate her; these mad fax interactions didn’t allow for that. The spontaneity of David’s spirit, somewhat disembodied, was a new experience for all of us, including ‘self-abuse’.

Six months later we agreed to write to David to give him an update.

Follow-up Letter, 10th October 1996

Dear David:

I just wanted to give you an update on Sylvia. She is just doing FANTASTICALLY!! She has been ‘self-abuse’ free for twenty-five weeks now. She is in her second term at TAFE doing her Year 10 Certificate and is doing really well. She has just been to our September camp and this was her second ‘self-abuse’ free camp. Not only that but Sylvia participated in all the ‘trust’ exercises, which she has never done before and was nominated in a small group to report back to the large group. She did it with ease. This was a first for her and was something she never thought was possible.

Janice and Vince have noticed an amazing difference in Sylvia as well and say that she is mixing well with the young people at TAFE. They are feeling much more relaxed and confident when Sylvia leaves the house to go out with her friends.
Naturally we are all thrilled and greatly relieved at this turnaround and are beginning to feel some confidence that ‘self-abuse’ may be finding the going too tough. It may even be giving up. Sylvia reports that there are times ‘when it still attempts to make a comeback’. However, she is much more aware of its tactics and is no longer taken by surprise. In fact, she can even now plan ahead.

So, in closing, I am happy to report that ‘self-abuse’ is the weakest it has been in the last six years and we all have a feeling of being united, strong and confident. Naturally we remain suspicious and ready to fight again if necessary. At the moment, it looks like another win for the ‘good guys’.

Hope you are well and happy,
Kerry

Reply letter from David, 24 October 1996

Dear Kerry,

I really appreciate hearing back from you, updating me on Sylvia. It is just so great to learn that she has been ‘self-abuse’ free now for 25 weeks and that she has returned to school at TAFE and doing well. I guess I am not really surprised when I consider how much abilities and talents must have been held back by ‘self-abuse’ practices. Does her doing well have anything to do with ‘self-appreciation’ guiding her in her life? I would be interested to know. Please pass on my delight in learning that Vince and Janice can breath a bit easier now. They must be extraordinary people to have kept alive their hope for Sylvia, given what they have endured over the years along with the injustices connected to that.

It makes my day to know that connecting up with everyone in the somewhat odd way we did in those chance circumstances may have loosened a brick in the wall. I would like to think that for all of us at that time, when the going got tough, we all got going.

Feel free to pass this letter on to Vince, Janice and Sylvia. I wish you all well, although I would doubt that there won’t be the odd comeback up ahead. But hopefully, it will be so different now and, from my experience, each day without self-abuse allows self-appreciation and self-love to form us and our relationships. I was very glad to have shared that day in your lives and thank you, in particular, Kerry, for thinking of me in the first instance and finding me in my office and you, by chance, in yours on a weekend. Perhaps, as I like to think, it was all meant to be.

Best wishes to you all,
David

On 4th July 1997 David was conducting a workshop on the Central Coast in Australia and asked if it might be possible for him to meet with Sylvia, Janice and Vince just to say Hi! They readily agreed. When David and I arrived at the home we were greeted by Vince, Janice, Sylvia and her brother Gary (20) and three young people who were on Sylvia’s ‘camp’ support team. There was no opportunity for
shyness or embarrassment as the occasion was taken over by the outrageous wit and jollity of the young people present. How marvellous it was for everyone to attend and celebrate Sylvia's life. And, despite her shyness at all the fuss, she physically remained at the very 'heart' of her 'community' with her family, myself, David and 'friends' surrounding her. And, for the best part of the evening, Sylvia wore a wry grin all over her face.

We never spoke of the ritual of inclusion again. I chuckling about it because I think we did one of our own without realising. David responded to my feelings of isolation and despair rather than to my request for information. This experience has highlighted for us the importance of support teams for therapists. Not the traditional support teams of drugs and institutionalisation that can increase isolation, but support teams of concerned and committed people. A 'community of concern' that promotes connection and solidarity. Modern technology allows us to contact and be contacted by fax, phone or email anywhere in the world. We use such technologies to increase our efficiency; why not do the same to access and increase our support teams?
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Taming the Terrier

David Epston

Original version published in Epston, D, Taming the Terrier, nnn (Narrative Network News), 27 November 2003, pp14-17
Ronny came into my premises somewhat reluctantly and shamefaced. I guess he knew something was up, something he preferred not to come up. His mother and father were somewhat more resolute but his mother, Sarah, looked uneasy in my presence. I introduced myself and sought for them to introduce themselves. Ronny was nine according to his birth certificate, but when asked, 'What age do you want me to think of you as – nine, ten or eleven?' he preferred to be thought of as a ten year old and that I ask him ten year old questions. I didn’t proceed from here in the conventional manner. Instead, I proposed the following to Ronny: 'If I were you, I wouldn’t want to meet a middle-aged stranger like myself through a Problem. Do you mind if I get to know you through what your mum and dad think is wonderful about you?' He looked perplexed but at the same time happily consented to this arrangement. I turned to his parents adding, ‘If we know what is so wonderful about Ronny, we will have some idea what he might put against the Problem, whatever the Problem may turn out to be.’ I suspect his parents hadn’t prepared themselves for such a line of enquiry and quite understandably were tongue-tied. I turned to Sarah and added, ‘What wonderful things are there about Ronny that indicate to you that you are the good mother you seek to be?’ Turning to his father, Jim, I asked something along the same lines.

We all learned that Ronny possessed ‘a wonderful sense of humour’ that was both ‘somewhat original’ and ‘some copied’ like knock-knock jokes. I asserted that to be able to amuse adults, a person Ronny’s age would have to have ‘a way with words’. They both nodded in agreement and went on to tell me that ‘he is very thoughtful with words’ and gave me some clever examples of ‘double entendres’ that resided in their family history. We explored further the nature of his ‘wit’ and it extended to ‘repartee’ and his capacity to ‘hang out with his parents’ and enjoy the company of adults. And they had more to add about his ‘way with words’. ‘He is sharp with words. Subtle and dry but he can also be farcical.’

That led me to further enquiries around, ‘how does Ronny’s mind operate?’ They described his mind as ‘enquiring’. He was curious about a great many things ... and his interests could come out of the ‘left-field’ and surprise them. When I explored the ‘genealogy’ of this way he used his mind, it turned out to be a good match ‘for our style of interaction’. Jim was a researcher in his professional life and at home Ronny joined him in ‘researching’ various of their shared interests.

Quite apart from the workings of his wit and mind, both parents spoke with considerable pride that ‘he is passionate about sport and in particular cricket. They laughed aloud remembering that the first word he spoke was ‘ball’. But what impressed them most about Ronny was the fact that in soccer he was renowned for his ‘tenacious tackling’. Even though he was slight in build, he ‘has no fear of the size of the person.’ Furthermore, I was informed that Ronny would become even more determined when an opponent out-tricked him and got past him. This would inflame his determination to catch his opponent up. In fact, he had won an award in his club for this aspect of his play. When I asked if he had a ‘nick-name’ in his club, we all laughed when Jim told me ‘Foxy’, after the persistence of a fox.
terrier. By now, everyone, including myself, was looking a lot more comfortable in what for them were strange surroundings and an endeavour (therapy) they had never undertaken before.

I turned full-face to Ronny and asked him what he might like to put his wit, his 'way with words' and his fierce determination not to be outdone to. He pinpointed his 'temper'. We all joined in a discussion as to whether this 'temper' was 'a justifiable moral outrage at some injustices in his life' or was it more like 'tantrumming'. Ronny took the lead in proposing it was more like tantrumming and his parents strongly supported this. We all canvassed a few recent episodes of his 'temper'. They were instances where Sarah had requested him to either do something like 'clean his room' or desist from something like 'pestering his sister (aged five)'. When I asked Ronny if this tantrumming was something he wanted to stick with, he assured me that this was not the case. Further enquiries as to the effects of the tantrumming on his estimate of his maturity (determined by age) clearly indicated that the tantrumming was 'growing him down' and his tantrums were now almost three years behind his 'wit', 'way with words' and his 'passionate sportsmanship'. To my questions as to the 'fairness' and 'rightness' of tantrumming 'growing him down', he strongly disapproved of this and argued that it was 'fading'. Sarah joined the conversation here and disagreed. In fact, she now seemed able to assert her concerns that she was beginning to fear for the future, given she expected his tantrumming to increase as he got 'bigger and stronger'.

Jim said, although he didn't have the same problems with tantrumming, he was there to support Sarah. In discussion, this might just have been the luck of the draw because Jim couldn't be certain that if he had to require Ronny to clean his room and wash the dishes, he might well have found himself on the wrong side of a tantrum. Enquiries to Sarah around the effects of the tantrumming on her and their mother-son relationship spelled out quite a rift.

Sarah was starting to 'walk on egg shells' and 'pussy-foot' around Ronny. To my question, 'Is the tantrumming starting to drive a wedge between you and Ronny, and between the kind of mother/son relationship you desire?' she ruefully acknowledged that such a process was in place. Ronny hadn't been aware of this and said that his preference was for a 'close son/mother relationship', one in which they could be at their ease with one another and be loving rather than 'stand offish' or 'keeping their distance'. Just to be sure, I checked with Ronny: 'Can you see any disadvantages for you if your tantrumming stopped and never happened again?' He took this seriously, if his pondering meant anything. I proposed a few matters for him to consider - such as 'your mother may not pussyfoot around you anymore?' and 'fear may no longer have her shy away from making requests of you?' Ronny, on balance, considered cessation of the tantrumming worthwhile.

'Ronny', I said, 'I would be willing to give your mum and dad a double your money back guarantee if you were willing to trust me enough to consent to a 'temper tantrum approach' of mine. I went over to my book shelf and took down a copy of Experience, Contradiction, Narrative and Imagination (Epston &
White, 1992), and referred them to the outcome study in the chapter Temper tantrum parties: losing face, going off your face or saving face. Since the study included many other therapists, I mentioned my own outcomes as one hundred per cent successful (1), although admitting that Ronny might be the first to fail in ‘taming his temper’. Ronny didn’t look too worried by that. Jim joined the conversation and said that from his experience of Ronny, he would always want to know ahead of time what he was in for. ‘Ronny is just that kind of kid!’ I admitted that this would be the first time, but I accepted this about Ronny and would wait until everything was disclosed to him before seeking his consent.

Everyone than turned to me to proceed. ‘First of all, Ronny, if you were going to have a party and you could only have one kind of food, would it be a sweet or a savoury?’ Ronny was taken aback by where this was all going so I added a few possibilities, ‘Damon, who was twelve at the time, chose a chocolate cake? Isobel, who was fifteen, chose pumpkin pie. Jason, who was thirteen, wanted cornish pasties’. To my surprise, Ronny selected sushi as his food of choice. I turned to his parents and asked if Ronny was a ‘foodie’ too in addition to some of his other virtues. ‘Secondly, Ronny, when you are playing soccer, does the tantrumming ever take you over at a practice or during a match?’ He looked bemused by such a question and dismissed it out of hand, ‘Of course not!’ I responded enthusiastically, assuring everyone that I would be now willing to extend my money back guarantee to a ‘triple’ rather than a ‘double’.

I asked Sarah if she thought she could sense a temper tantrum coming on. She didn’t contemplate any difficulties with that. ‘Sarah and Jim, could you prepare some cards, one set that you carry on your person and another set that you keep in a handy place like the mantle-piece or on the fridge. The first card should read in large writing, ‘Ronny, I think you have a temper tantrum coming on!’ I then proposed that should she detect the beginnings of a tantrumming, she should merely hand Ronny the first card, turn her back and depart and return to a safe place, timing sixty seconds on her watch. She should then check to see if the tantrumming was proceeding as usual or if Ronny had ‘tamed’ it. If it was the latter, she was to congratulate him for this and to take some notes to tell Jim when he returned home from work that night. However, if the tantrumming was proceeding as usual, she was to hand him the second card which would read, ‘Pre-recording Warning 1’, turn her back and depart and return after another minute had elapsed and once again check. Congrats and note-taking was in order if Ronny had ‘tamed’ the tantrum even at this stage. However, if the tantrumming was proceeding as usual, she was to hand him Card Three which would read, ‘Prerecording No. 2’ and do what she had done before, once again returning in a minute to review the situation. Congrats and note-taking if Ronny had ‘tamed’ the tantrumming. If not, there would be handing over of the fourth and final card which would read, ‘Final Warning - Recording will start in 60 second’s time!’ This time she should go and prepare the audio tape recorder they had on hand (or a video-camera if they had access to that), keep a safe distance and just record the tantrum.
I then proceeded, ‘Who are your three best soccer mates, Ronny?’ ‘Tim, Terry and Derrick!’ he told me proudly. Directing my comments to everyone, I said, ‘Thirdly, go home tonight and Ronny, it would be far more convincing if you wrote the letter yourself but if you find it too difficult, your parents could do it for you. The letter might read something like this:

Dear Tim, Terry or Derrick,

I would like to invite you to my home on .. (leave a blank because you very likely won’t have to fill it) at .. (leave a blank because you very likely won’t have to decide what time the party begins) .. to a Sushi and Temper Tantrum Party. You may not know this but my tantrumming has been growing me down at home to a seven year old level. I have consulted David Epston, a narrative therapist, along with my mum and dad and it seems that adults can’t help me. But I know you can because when we are team-mates both in training and during a match, my temper never takes me over, even when we get goals scored against us. So I know that when you see or hear the tantrumming, you will come up with some good ideas to help me ‘tame’ it. I know I can depend on you the same way you can depend on me to tackle like a fox terrier and never let the team down.

Your friend, Ronny

Ronny’s and my eyes met. He took a deep breath and said unequivocally, ‘This will work!’ Well, I know Ronny had a reputation for his wit, but I wonder if he and his parents and a relative stranger had ever laughed quite so loudly or for so long before. ‘Ronny, in that case, would you might doing something for me and any other young person whose tantrumming was growing them down?’ Before he agreed, he wanted to know all the details. ‘Well, Ronny, of all the other young men and women who ‘tamed’ their tempers, none of them to my knowledge were researchers.’ Jim mentioned at this point that in fact Ronny had experience as an unpaid ‘researcher’ in a study. I asked Ronny if he might be willing to watch his ‘tantrumming’ in the very act of ‘taming’ it, and either really remember it or take down some notes. As our time was up, I just wanted to say that his research could play a part in assisting other young men and women to ‘grow up’ to their age, and even past their age, if they were anything like Ronny. I agreed to send him some research questions by post. We agreed to meet back in a month’s time to audio tape his ‘temper tantrum knowledge’ for others to learn from.

Below is the letter with the research questions I forwarded to him by post:

Dear Ronny,

I really liked meeting you the other evening. You really reminded me of myself as I was called something similar to a ‘fox terrier’ when I played sports. Like you, I refused to give up, even if someone got past me. In fact, that would make me more determined. Do you find that? Since I have never had the good fortune to meet a
young person your age who had experience as a research assistant, the ‘temper tantrum party’ idea, although successful over the years, has never been researched. I was wondering if you might consider some of the following ‘research questions’, while you grow yourself out of the Problem. After all, I thought it was unfair that a Problem would grow you down to seven when in fact everything else about you suggested you were more ten or eleven.

So here goes:

1) **RONNY, WHEN THE TEMPER STARTED COMING ON AND YOUR MOTHER GAVE YOU THE FIRST CARD, WHAT DID YOU DO IN YOUR MIND TO STOP IT FROM TAKING YOU OVER AND HUMILIATING YOU?**

2) **RONNY, THE SECOND TIME YOUR TEMPER STARTED COMING ON AND ANY FURTHER TIMES, DID YOU FIND IT EASIER TO DO WHATEVER IT WAS YOU DID IN THE FIRST PLACE?**

3) **RONNY, DO YOU THINK IT WOULD HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE TO DO WHAT YOU DID IN THE FIRST PLACE IF YOU DIDN’T HAVE THE PROSPECT OF THE ‘SUSHI AND TEMPER TANTRUM PARTY’ IN YOUR MIND?**

4) **RONNY, WHY WAS IT POSSIBLE FOR YOU TO DECLARE IN FRONT OF YOUR MUM AND DAD THAT YOU KNEW ‘THAT WOULD WORK’? WHAT MADE YOU SO SURE?**

5) **RONNY, THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE TO TAME YOUR TEMPER JUST LIKE THAT, HAS THAT MADE YOU FEEL YOUR AGE OR EVEN MORE GROWN UP THAN YOUR AGE FOR EXAMPLE, A TEN OR ELEVEN YEAR OLD NINE YEAR OLD?**

6) **RONNY, IF AN EIGHT OR NINE YEAR OLD BOY CAME TO YOU AND SAID, ‘RONNY, HELP ME! I CANNOT CONTROL MY TEMPER! I HATE IT? BUT THERE IS NOTHING I CAN DO ABOUT IT ... IT JUST HAPPENS!’ WHAT ADVICE WOULD YOU GIVE HIM?**

7) **RONNY, IN GENERAL, WHAT IS YOUR OPINION NOW OF ‘TEMPER TANTRUMMING’?**

Ronny, I thank you so much for your help. After all, would you believe about fifteen per cent of the young people I meet are being ‘grown down’ by their temper tantruming?

Yours in co-research,
David Epston, Visiting Professor,
School of Community Studies, Unitec Institute of Technology

Ronny returned with his mother in a month’s time, despite the fact that he was suffering a cold and was a little lacklustre. Still, he was determined to report on his research. With great pleasure, Sarah announced a ‘one hundred per cent improvement’ and related that to there being ‘more of his willingness to listen’ and her conviction that ‘he is taking control’. Ronny thought there had been a fifty to sixty per cent improvement, and it was all because ‘I didn't want that (the sushi and temper tantrum party) to happen.’ Although that had only been three first cards, Sarah had observed on every occasion that ‘he was composed and
there was no grimacing.' What reassured her most about his capability to 'tame his temper' was watching his response to being issued the very first card. 'The first time I could see him take control of himself. It was amazing . . . such a huge relief. I was very proud of him and proud of ourselves that we did something about it.' Apparently they had been thinking about a referral over the past year.

Ronny wasn’t ‘really proud but I don’t think it was nothing.’ When I asked if he thought the ‘party’ idea would have worked as well when he was eight rather than nine, he told me that ‘I think so but I’m not one hundred per cent sure.’ Ronny thought that his temper may very well have come under his control without the ‘party’ proposal but it would have taken another two years’ time.

His mother reported ‘sixty per cent more co-operation’ and how such co-operation benefitted their mother-son relationship: ‘It is easier for me to ask him to do things when I know he isn’t going to be unhappy to do it ... I no longer have any fear (of escalating conflict).’ She mentioned since he tamed his temper, ‘We have more time to negotiate and bargain and he doesn’t get so indignant. And he sticks to his side of any bargain.’

Ronny assured me that he had ‘grown himself’ not only back to his age but ahead to that of an eleven year old. When I enquired as to why he had done this he said ‘I like it. It just makes me feel more grown up.’ And Sarah agreed that now they were able to have ‘more grown-up conversations’ in ‘an improved atmosphere.’ When I asked Ronny to consult to another young boy, he produced laughter all round yet again by his remark, ‘I would ask him what his favourite food was.’ Ronny did express concern that his temper could make a come-back but his mother thought that was very unlikely. He summed it all up: ‘If you try, you can stop it!’

**Note**

(1) RESULTS (p 68)  
Never Occurred Again 60 45  
Dramatically Diminished 56 42  
Substantially Diminished 10 8  
Diminished 2 2  
No Change 2 2  
Increased 1 1

**Reference**
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On becoming a Just Practitioner: experimenting with the final paper of an undergraduate programme as a rite of passage

David Epston, Gavin Rennie & Ksenija Napan 1

“You are so young, so much before all beginning, and I would like to beg you, dear sir, as well as I can, to have patience with everything unresolved in your heart and to try to love the questions themselves as if they were locked rooms or books written in very foreign language. Don’t search for the answers, which could not be given to you now, because you would not be able to live them. And the point is, to live everything, live the questions now.”

Rainer Maria Rilke (1993) Letters to a Young Poet
From letter four, July 16, 1903

Purpose and Intent
The purpose of designing the paper ‘Just Practice’ was to invite and inspire the graduating class of the Bachelor of Social Practice programme to incorporate social justice concerns into their practice as they begin to enter their work places. It was expected that students would define their social justice intentions, purposes, aims and contexts for their practices in light of the retrospective accounts of those who had gone before them and had been acknowledged for their own ‘just practice’. This was made possible by providing opportunities to bring the graduating class into close acquaintanceship with the ‘lore’ of just practitioners.

By the final year of the programme students have been exposed to a number of theories, worldviews, approaches and perspectives. We wanted them to expand on these various ways of practicing and to develop a well integrated approach encompassing who they are, what they do and how they want to contribute towards a just society. We invited our students to go beyond the circumscribed bounds of radical texts by drawing close to the histories of the just practices of their seniors. We hoped that they might leave them with some stories and memories of their tellers that would imbue their everyday professional lives with ‘just practice’.

We accepted the notion of practice not only as a ‘series of planned interventions’ but also as a human action and a process wherein we are both shaped by the prevailing social order and are active participants in the creation of that order’ (Finn and Jacobson, 2003). Our intention was to provide a liminal space for reflection where students would be able to explore their personal understanding of justice and the possible ways of realising that through their future professional practice as counsellors, social workers or community developers. In addition, we wished to collaborate with students by ‘walking the talk’ of ‘just practice’ throughout the course.

We also wanted to make the course as ‘alive’ as possible. To this end, we decided to invite social practitioners in the widest possible sense – not only counsellors, community developers and social workers, but also practitioners coming from a wide range of backgrounds and various professions, to share their experiences of practicing justly in unjust contexts, to speak to their passions, and about their challenges, trials and tribulations. This invitation also conveniently allowed
us to formally recognise the contributions of their efforts, which are rarely acknowledged and sometimes disdained.

**The context**

The origins of the degree programme rested in a conviction that the term social practice was both novel and helpful. This term made it possible to implicate all three fields of practice, namely social work, counselling and community development and blur the disciplinary boundaries that separated them. In planning the programme, there was an avowed intention to subordinate these professional endeavours to a larger purpose and that purpose was defined as *social practice*, implicating key themes of social context and social justice. However, each professional discipline was bound to distinguish itself sufficiently so that students could fulfil any requirements for professional memberships, e.g. Aotearoa New Zealand Association of Social Workers (ANZASW), New Zealand Association of Counsellors (NZAC) or sufficient community development practice to enable students to seek employment in positions designated by that term of employment. Accordingly, at the beginning of Year 2, students must indicate which major they wish to undertake. The counselling major included three field specific papers, while the social work and community development majors require two papers designed for them alone.

As students pursue their chosen field of practice, the class starts to fragment along disciplinary lines, at times leading to boundary skirmishing as the commonalities of social practice start fading from the minds of the students. The shape of the counselling third year is somewhat different from that of the other strands and it seems that the fact of being thrown in the deep end on their placements fostered a level of intimacy more intense than in the other two strands. We noticed a tendency for counselling students to detach themselves somewhat from the programme’s ideal of producing a social practitioner.

In addition, we were aware that in creating an embryonic professional identity, student practitioners tend to focus more on the differences than the similarities among the different majors. We still hoped that the counselling students would revive their appreciation of the wider social contexts and that social work and community development students would more readily ground social structures in personal agency. We believed that we could achieve that by promoting a lively exchange of ideas amongst the students as well as inviting distinguished practitioners from a wide range of social practice settings to share their experiences of social justice.

**Concerns and thinking behind the emergence of a new paper**

We intended to find a vehicle that would both bring and bind together the specific knowledges the students had gained in their separate fields of practice. The question we faced was “How can we reconvene the three fields of practice in the third year of the degree programme and under what banner?” In discussion, it
was easy for us to conclude that social justice was a theme that could serve us well here for the reason that it was social justice that convened the staff as well, despite their respective trainings and professional commitments. Social justice had long been a core value of the School of Community Studies. The question we were left with was “What would be the means by which we could join up the three fields of practice to this purpose?” We envisioned the theme of social justice braiding the three strands of practice into a rope that would secure each strand equally well and be far stronger and more durable than any of the strands on their own.

Another consideration was that in the past there had been no climax to the completion of the programme. While we provided students with “breathing space” to complete final assignments, it was very difficult for students to sustain interest in the penultimate papers, possibly due to their heavy workloads. Many third year classes were poorly attended, and those who did attend seemed fatigued and just going through the motions. The mood of the classes was desultory, much like dinner guests on the fifth course pleading for no more dessert.

We had to figure out how to excite our students at this significant time in their undergraduate education in a way that renewed their vitality and enthusiasm for that which lay ahead – the assumption of their professional identities and the commencement of their professional careers. We designed the Just Practice paper to reach out to the students in ways that intellectual debate about justice could not. Our intention was to depart from textbook generalisations by creating a context in which students could reflect on and ‘breath life into’ any number of theoretical notions. We invited students to meet, dialogue with, and be touched and inspired by devoted practitioners and to reconsider accordingly their current understandings of social justice as a ‘practice’. We thought it important for them to know, feel, think and do social justice when they graduated. We believed that if we were to ‘walk our talk’, then it was incumbent on us, as teachers, to make this paper ‘just’ to its core, which required us to address these concerns in a deliberate and thoughtful manner. We chose the ‘rite of passage’ as a metaphor to guide and innovate our pedagogy, as it was rich in suggestion for our intentions and purposes.

The Rite of Passage

Essentially, van Gennep, as quoted in Epston and White (1995), asserted that the rite of passage is a universal phenomenon for facilitating transitions in social life, from one status or identity to another. He proposed a processual model of this rite, consisting of the stages of separation, liminality, and reincorporation. In traditional cultures, the initiation of each of these stages is marked by ceremony. For example, at the separation stage people are detached from familiar roles, statuses, and locations, and they enter an unfamiliar social world in which most of the taken-for-granted ways of going about life are suspended. The liminal space, which constitutes the second stage of a rite of passage, is betwixt and
between known worlds and is characterised by experiences of disorganisation and confusion, by a spirit of exploration, and by a heightened sense of possibility. The third stage, reincorporation, brings closure to the ritual passage and assists people in relocating themselves in the social order of the familiar world, but at a different position. The new position is characteristically accompanied by new roles, responsibilities and freedoms. Traditionally, the arrival at this point is augmented by claims and declarations that the person has successfully negotiated a transition, and this is legitimated by acknowledgement within the person’s own community.

Accordingly, the just practice paper was not conceived of as the ‘final paper’ marking the ‘end of the road’ for the degree studies but rather as a liminal space – ‘a twixt and between’ undergraduate professional training and professional practice. Even though we were unable to go away to a unique geographical space, the students themselves transformed the mundanities of their familiar classroom into a ‘ritual space’ in ways that never ceased to amaze us.

Selection of those to be presented with the award of ‘Just Practitioner’

We decided to invite guest speakers who were noted by their colleagues or communities for their commitment to social justice. They were provided with guiding questions to orient their presentation to the class and told that students would be given an opportunity to dialogue with them to further explore their concerns around personal ethics and social justice.

We were aware that so many social justice practitioners are unacknowledged in the professions as well as in their work places. Mavericks are not always welcome. Also, many of these practitioners were not people who made their professional way through the more obvious forums of acknowledgement, e.g. conference presentations, journal article writing, or committee work. From our experience, the ‘heart and soul’ of just practice is practice itself and for this reason ‘just practitioners’ often do not have the time, energy or writing skills for documenting their experiences, practices and learnings. We also recognised that often the controversial or confidential nature of their work prevents publication of any kind. A number of these extraordinary practitioners had demonstrated courage, exposing themselves to criticism from their profession, at times suffering for that. It interested us to establish an alternative forum of acknowledgement and an opportunity for students to be infused by the stories and lore of such people about why and how they have lived their work lives as ‘Just Practitioners’. Given that this rite of passage was to be enacted on campus and under the aegis of a tertiary educational institution, we still wondered if we could pull it off.

At first, we tried to match speakers to particular constituencies among the students. We had representatives from different ethnicities, fields of practice, genders, religious and sexual orientations. We also looked beyond the traditional boundaries of social work/counselling/community development to include social practitioners working in other fields, some of whom were unconventional or
radical - a Green Party MP who was a community activist, a progressive Christian clergyperson advocating for gay rights, a community psychiatrist advocating for deinstitutionalisation, a gay disability activist performing as a stand up ‘sit down’ comedian.

We were seeking those who might be considered to have stood outside conventional practice and who might be better known as political activists, whatever their professional training. We did however have a preference for those who started such activist careers as social workers, counsellors or community developers. What was foremost in our minds was finding exemplars serving social justice concerns in their work lives.

The process of inviting Just Practitioners

We pursued a deliberate process of inviting just practitioners ‘to engage with our students’. We asked staff, colleagues and students to nominate candidates with a short description of why they considered the practitioner was eligible for nomination. The candidate would then be called and informed of what was entailed in its receipt. If the offer of the award was accepted, this letter would follow.

Dear x,

Following the conversation we had recently over the phone, my colleagues and I are writing to invite you to accept our acknowledgement of you as a ‘distinguished social practitioner’.

We consider that your practice over the years has shown a commitment to social justice and that your passion and ingenuity have produced what we are referring to as ‘Just Practice’. We mean by that a social practice that is engendered by social justice concerns.

We would like to celebrate the award of this distinction in a rather uncommon manner. We are inviting you to speak to our graduating class, whose programme (Bachelor of Social Practice) culminates in a semester long paper entitled ‘Just Practice’. During this paper we expect our students to articulate and confirm their own passions and commitments to social justice concerns and look into their futures as practitioners. This is easier said than done – there is often far more talk than walk.

Concurrently with our students forming their own commitments, we invite you to share with them retrospective accounts of the history of your own ‘just practice’. While they look forward, we would ask you to look back over your career.

The following questions might serve you in such an endeavour:

- Looking back over your career and your life, are there any stories that now come to mind that help you understand and now tell you the reasons for your ‘just practice’?
- What made you concern yourself about ‘just practice’ as an essential part of your practice?
- How did you sustain your passion in the face of your trials and tribulations?
- What were your highlights? Your lowlights?
ON BECOMING A JUST PRACTITIONER

- Who or what kept you true to your calling?
- What advice would you have wished someone had given you when you were just starting out in your career, fired by your concerns for justice?
- What practice wisdoms, even in hindsight, would you wish to bequeath to those who are just about to begin their careers?

We would like to invite you to spend a morning with the class on_________ and address the questions above. We hope they will have assisted you with your preparations. These ‘orienting’ questions will be reasonable facsimiles of the questions the graduating students will be entertaining in their own discussions.

The format for the day would be:
9.00 – 10.15 Your address
10.15 – 11.00 Students formulate questions
11.00- 12.00 Questions, reflection and dialogue with students
12.00- 1 pm Lunch
1.00 – 3.00 Reflection and discussion time for students only

There is an honorarium of $xxx to cover your expenses and at the conclusion of your presentation you will be formally recognised as a Just Practitioner.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss this further to discuss the above before accepting its conditions, please contact the paper co-ordinator. Phone xxx, e-mail xxx.

Yours sincerely,
Course co-ordinator

The storying of Just Practice

The presentations by “just practitioners” were as far from rarefied academic lectures as you could get. They commonly consisted of stories and reminiscences linked one to the other, painful accounts of their trials and tribulations, and deeply considered ‘wisdoms' often gained the ‘hard way'. The atmosphere was ceremonial and always had a sense of the unexpected and the excitement of the unknown. Each welcoming committee created a different sacred space in the broadest possible sense. The day was opened by a karakia (prayer) or a quote relevant for a specific speaker and a sacred space was created anew on every occasion. The ceremony was reinvented for each speaker by their welcoming committee.

What was quite extraordinary was the degree of intimacy that quickly developed between the speakers, previously unknown to students, and the class itself. What seemed to touch everyone, speakers included, was a palpable sense of mentoring the ‘next generation', not by way of the bravura of traditional ‘graduation speech-making’ but rather a deep retrospective on their own politically active work-lives. Many presenters have expressed delight that they were given cause to reflect on their work lives in a manner that they had not done before.

In spite of the physical environs of a classroom in a tertiary educational institution, a ritual space was created where everyone stood together with the
speakers looking backwards over their careers and the students looking forwards to theirs. It was as if each time a torch of social justice was passed to new initiates, and the initiates were participants who resonated with at least some of the stories told in the class. These resonances were not happening only from experienced practitioners to students, but they were obviously mutual and it would be unjust to say that students were the only ones learning. Discovering a just practitioner in a colleague student who has opposing beliefs to your own was probably one of the most powerful learnings for quite a few students.

The morning ends with the award of the designation ‘Just Practitioner’ together with a ‘thank you card’ signed by students with some personal comments from each of them.

To prepare the students, they were informed that academic grades were not the primary focus of the paper and that while there were two assignments, there was an expectation that they would be more concerned about creating a socially just practice for themselves. They were also told that what was important was not ‘what you know’ but ‘what you intend to be about’ – forming commitments to work towards a just world and “dwelling in possibility” (Dickinson, 1862).

Reviews over the years

We envisaged this paper as being dynamic. This required our commitment to learn from the process of offering of it and to modify it accordingly.

After the first year, we became aware of the significance of students’ reflecting on the speakers’ presentations. Many buttons were pushed; many hearts were touched. Those students with fundamentalist beliefs, either religious or political, were often challenged the most by the paper. We came to realise that considerable time was required to allow for these reflections to be voiced and processed. For many students, these reflective processes were transformative.

They allowed for open discussion and for mutual appreciation by students of others from various backgrounds who held very different and at times opposing beliefs. In other classroom contexts, we would expect far more contestation. The spell of this rite of passage seemed to allow for more inquiry, more uncertainty, “to live the questions now”.

We scheduled full days for student reflection on top of the reflection time that followed each just practitioner address. During the reflection days students were guided by orienting questions such as:

1. How did what x (a guest speaker) had to tell us resonate with your values and beliefs about just practice?
2. What was most challenging in x’s talk for you? And why?
3. Were your buttons pushed or your heart touched in any way? If so how?
4. How was this presentation relevant to your future practice?
5. Did you have any learnings that took you by surprise? If so, what were they?

The purpose of guided reflection was for students to share their responses to guest speakers and also to examine their own ideas of just practice. In addition
students worked in their groups, beginning the process of sorting out which particular presentations would have bearing upon their future practice.

In the first year we had encouraged ‘just practitioners’ to invite their family, friends and colleagues to their award ceremony to share in their honours. We suggested the students do the same when they were doing their presentations on their future just practice before the class. Although very potent for the students concerned, this became practically impossible with the increasing number of students in the class.

We also invited students to negotiate with us how assignments were to be assessed because we believed that that initiative represented a just teaching practice. After these negotiations, most students took more responsibility, giving their best effort in accomplishing all that was required and more.

We noticed, however, that some students preferred to group themselves with likeminded people, almost to the point of excluding others whose beliefs or fields of interests were different from their own. Such groupings had the effect of silencing an honest, fair and challenging exchange of various views and favouring of a preference for feeling comfortable. To encourage greater inclusiveness we decided to impose a group structure to promote the idea of learning from one another’s differences. There were to be four in each group and we insisted that there was at least one future social worker, counsellor and community developer in each group and preferably people of different culture, age, gender, sexual orientation and political preference.

Some students initially resisted the introduction of imposed study groups. Two students said they could not work with people in their group because their worldviews were so different, but we persisted. On final analysis the “rebels or fighters for social justice” gratefully admitted that working with people they would never choose to work with was a major learning experience. The outcomes were extremely positive. The imposed structure paradoxically nourished useful dialogue and greater inclusiveness and allowed students to voice their views more clearly in order to present them to their peers with quite different views. The very people who initially opposed such an imposition expressed the greatest levels of satisfaction to staff.

Whereas during the first year of offering the paper students did individual presentations of their intentions to practice justly, we decided to change the assignment to a group presentation the second time around. For the group presentation, students were asked to create a fictional social practice agency where both the policy and practice was guided by their social justice concerns. This assignment required each group to reach a consensus on what would constitute a virtual socially just agency where they all could work in concert. Our aim in requiring this task was to encourage students to collaborate with others, be aware of their own positions and professional disciplines and at the same time learn from dialoguing with other practitioners of fields of practice with their distinctive perspectives. In addition, students were also required to write up their own personal commitments to social justice.
In the second year of the paper, students were given responsibility for the hospitality associated with the award ceremony, including decorating the space as well as welcoming, introducing, and providing refreshments for the speaker. The ‘study groups’ doubled as welcoming committees, responsible for hosting the day. These activities strongly contributed to the students developing a sense of ownership over the paper and taking charge of their learning. Convening the meeting, establishing its mood, providing food, and so on, allowed students to be far more intimate with the visiting ‘just practitioners’ than we would have otherwise expected.

Reflections
We were delighted with both the planned and unplanned outcomes of the just practice paper. From the beginning we were aware that we were doing something new, useful and relevant, but we never expected such high quality student work, demonstrated in the depth of discussions and the level of participation. Despite overdue assignments hanging over many of the students' heads like the sword of Damocles, attendance was the highest of any paper in the programme. Students would phone in with apologies when circumstances prevented their attendance. Another encouraging aspect was the consistently high level of student evaluations of the paper. As the course co-ordinator changed from year to year, we attributed the success to the process itself rather than to the charisma of any individual staff member.

Students
I had no idea what to expect, so there was a sense of excitement in the beginning because of the not knowing what was to come. I was not disappointed and the excitement and anticipation were sustained week after week by the speakers’ presentations.
I felt allowed to take what I wanted from each speaker and able to leave the rest. This created a kind of cellular memory of things I want to remember. In this way, ‘Just Practice’ was different from other papers where ‘learning outcomes’ often dictated what was to be ‘learned’. In this paper, I held on to what was special to me and that was the high point for me. This created for me a sense of ownership… a sense I was in control of what I learnt from this paper. It was deep, cellular learning, the stuff I think of everyday and probably always will.
What was of particular value was the idea of that I could make a difference; that as a social worker I am in the business of inspiring people to bring about positive changes in their lives. This remains with me as a filter through which I now view my work with clients.
At a personal level June Kirk-Smith (2) and Ian Lawton (3) moved me to take a more tolerant and balanced view of Christianity. This was totally unanticipated. I acknowledged for the first time that some people in the church have played a significant role in the deconstruction of hierarchies of power which it had been instrumental in creating.
Of all speakers, June Kirk-Smith made a particular impression on me because of her humility. She never used the pronoun “I”. She shared with us that she starts each day with a prayer for compassion and wisdom in her work. For her, it seemed like the antidote for burnout.

We survived as a project group, in spite of being so diverse and having different levels of commitment. This enhanced my self-awareness and my understanding of cultural differences regarding hierarchies of importance. I think being put into such project groups is a fascinating bit of social engineering, and certainly for me, it produced unexpected experiences and alliances.

I really enjoyed the de-emphasis on ‘academic production’, which created for me a sense of freedom, creativity, passion and enjoyment around producing the assignment. The rituals of beginnings and endings of every class and the beautiful decorations were inspiring. It appealed to my professional love for ritual and ceremony. The speakers were an amazing collection of people. I cannot say that I enjoyed one more than another – each had a pearl to offer, a treasure that I held on to and recall from time to time.

For me ‘Just Practice’ was a well-earned gift, the reward of three years of personal growth and effort. It seemed like the first steps along the way towards facing practice realities (Wendy Moore, 2002).

Just Practice was different from any other Bachelor of Social Practice Courses. It represented a break from formal academic activities. It embraced a sense of fun, a sense of ceremony in preparing for and welcoming our ‘guests’, and a sense of equality in that this was not a lecture but rather a gifting from ‘one who has gone before’.

When Kiwi Tamasese (4) suggested that we would be the ones creating future ‘theories’, this allowed me to see beyond the completion of my degree and look to the possibilities that lay ahead. This statement was very influential and contributed to my confidence in becoming the principal researcher of a project undertaken after my finishing my degree. I presented this at the International Narrative Conference in England in July 2003. Warren Lindberg (5) spoke of “handing on the mantle” to the class. Considering this, I was imbued with a confidence not to shy away from the kind of responsibility that such knowledge affords. Christine Herzog (6) said that ‘you can step out of the box without anything too bad happening’ and knowing this gave me the courage to practice it. I liked what Ian Lawton said about being a human being first and I think that when my personal and professional ‘selves’ are aligned in ethics then I am at my most ‘fully human’.

All of the above were unexpected ‘treasures’ from the course that have contributed to both my personal and professional life. I believe these speakers ‘pushed’ me beyond the expectations I would ever have had for myself. Opening such a window of possibility seemed effortless.

This course gave me some ideas of exactly what Just Practice might be about. To be honest, I had never really thought about this before. One always has one’s head so stuffed with the academic material during a degree that it was hard to think about how to put this into practice. In this regard, Just Practice was the perfect bridge between completing the degree and establishing my/ourselves in our work.
I think the relaxed nature of the course and the mutual respect that I felt, which was created and held in the room between practitioner and future practitioners, enabled our own ideas of what Just Practice might mean to us to personally to come through. There was something incredibly powerful in listening to the stories of people’s lives rather than studying this in academic ways.

There was a good balance between doing a group presentation and a personal piece of writing for assessment. It was good to bounce ideas off each others in the group and come to a consensus as to how we would bring these ideas together to form a cohesive whole.

In the writing and the reflection that this involved, I felt I reviewed my whole life through the lens of Just Practice. Significant events in my life that had previously been ‘unfathomable’ could now be seen in a different context with new and valued meaning. This was definitely one of the most profound pieces of writing I did during my degree.

The powerful stories that I heard gave me a wide range of perspectives on Just Practice. I determined that my ‘just practice’ would emerge from who I am and choose to be, the values and beliefs I hold at that moment in time and the contexts in which I find myself and how I want to respond to them. (Hazel Thompson, 2002)

Speakers also indicated that their involvement in the paper was an experience that both moved and challenged them. One commented that the preparation challenged him to review aspects of his professional life. Another sent us the following note:

“I simply must send you my heartfelt thanks for allowing me to speak to your students. I felt a deep sense of privilege to do so. The experience for me has been a memorable one. I would be grateful if you could tell the students how impressed I was with them – their attentiveness, their depth, their acceptance of me. I can’t tell you what a pleasure it was to read their comments. That is a lovely practice- it certainly meant a lot to me. So often one goes away after giving a talk full of doubt with no idea how it was received. I felt there was a great spirit in the whole department and that for me means good leadership.

Loving greeting,
Pauline O’Regan (7)

Co-ordinators
It was a joyful experience because it joined teaching and learning. Every guest speaker brought something new. Facilitating this paper was for me a privilege and an experience of collegiality with students and guest speakers. It provided a space for discussion and debate of ideas – at times very controversial. We were inspired by exceptional practitioners and our discussions became equally inspiring. This spiritedness is not usually possible because many of the earlier papers I facilitated were content-oriented and content driven. In this paper the context was equally important. The atmosphere was democratising (Ksenija Napan).

It was the best paper yet. It stretched me beyond where I thought I would go. The attendance was the highest compared to any other paper I’ve co-ordinated. Students
would fail to attend only for dire emergencies and they would notify in advance. Several acknowledged that they couldn’t take any more, which was intended as praise, not criticism. The atmosphere was extremely lively and excitement permeated the paper. Students took over elaborate decoration sensitive to the speaker – murals which were culturally responsive, symbols, floral arrangements, taped music… all appropriate to each speaker (Gavin Rennie).. This was the most challenging paper I’ve ever co-ordinated, but I enjoyed it immensely. It was all about ‘walking the talk’ and every social justice issue that we addressed was practiced in the classroom. Decisions were made collaboratively and the atmosphere was different to that in any other class. Somehow we all became connected with the common thread of social justice and a whole range of possible views on it. Dialogues, or ‘multilogues’ in the classroom were deep and meaningful and extremely relevant to social practice (Ksenija Napan).

Students quickly found that this wasn’t like any other paper. Assignments were handed in on time and despite the fact this was the final paper in their programme they were of exceptional quality. According to all those involved in marking, many of the students surpassed themselves. The students loved the speakers and the speakers loved the students. The speakers found the students welcoming and hospitable, especially their attempts at symbolising what the speakers represented in terms of their practice. They also mentioned their appreciation for those questions that were really important to them e.g. asking a radical community psychiatrist who had closed down an infamous psychiatric hospital if he had lost many friends? The nature of the students’ questions were surprisingly brave as they exposed their own trepidations and fears. (Gavin Rennie and Ksenija Napan)

**Bringing Just Practice into being**

The ‘spirit of social justice’ was brought into being in an almost palpable form. Far from textbook definitions, it arose instead out of the stories of those practitioners who had come to ‘mentor’ their juniors. It was almost as if the seniors were conjuring up that ‘spirit’ so s/he might pass it on to the initiates who were about to take it up. Social justice was no longer a concept but a kind of lore, which the graduating class avowed an intention to pursue during their working lives. Most speakers told stories more than anything else, many of which were particularly vivid and memorable, touching and laugh provoking, of great deeds alongside risible errors of judgment. Interestingly, many speakers reiterated the point that their “real” learning began after their formal professional training.

**Conclusion**

We defined ‘just practice’ as practice that is engendered by social justice concerns. By committing to this definition, we hoped that students in the just practice paper would develop the capacity to see realities from a wide range of viewpoints and to become attentive to client’s stories and their ways of experiencing and resisting the injustices in their lives. By listening to and
referencing the guest speakers’ exemplary lore, students said they were inspired to enter and lead their professional lives for the sake of social justice as they had come to realise it. These newfound or deepened commitments strongly align with the definition of social work formulated by the International Federation of Social Workers (IFSW) and the International Association of Schools of Social Work (IASSW) in 2002 which encompasses themes of social change and social justice:

“The social work profession promotes social change, problem solving in human relationships and the empowerment and liberation of people to enhance well being. Utilising theories of human behaviour and social systems, social work intervenes at the points where people interact with their environment. Principles of human rights and social justice are fundamental to social work” (www.ifsw.org)

Another unplanned but very relevant outcome was that this paper and the ritual associated with it ushered the students into a collegial relationship with their teachers and the speakers as members of the same community, a community of practitioners concerned for social justice.

This collaborative process guided students to make specific commitments for their careers. Voicing these commitments before the assembled class seemed to have a powerful effect and in some instances impelled students to act upon their commitments.

We kept a close tally on what might be referred to as lines of enquiry over the years. The most recurring lines of enquiry were:

1) How do people with strong commitments to social justice survive in the institutions where they either work or to which they owe an allegiance (e.g. mental health service, statutory child protection service, religious order, church, etc.)?

2) What is the price of standing up for a just cause or concern?

and

3) Is it possible to take a stand and not lose a job?

Students were fascinated with the speakers’ responses to their highlights and ‘lowlights’ and to the ‘advice they wish they had received as beginners’.

Students focused on various aspects of their future ‘just practice’ in their individual assignments culminating in the development of a virtual ‘just agency’, which they presented to the class as a whole. In a range of scenarios they conveyed the mission and the practices of their virtual agencies. One group exemplified their just practice by interviewing a candidate for a vacancy in their agency. Another group treated with irony practices to which they were opposed. A third used a scenario of a staff meeting to illustrate how their values inhered in their practices.

Providing a space for student imagination to envisage a just workplace enabled us all to reflect on our contributions to creating a just society. Principles and ideas considered in the paper came to life in student presentations and it raised everyone’s hopes that if not tomorrow, maybe the day after tomorrow, these virtual agencies would materialise and recruit them and their like – social practitioners committed to social justice.
ON BECOMING A JUST PRACTITIONER
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Haunting from the Future: A Congenial Approach to Parent-Children Conflicts

David Epston, Cherelyn Lakusta and Karl Tomm

Reading about “assombrado do futuro” in Richard Zimler’s fascinating novel *Angelic Darkness* (1999) and the intriguing prospect of benevolent ghosts haunting from the future was so resonant with an unnamed therapeutic practice of mine that it startled me.

“What’s “assombrada do futuro” mean?” I asked.
“What?”
‘From the song...She kept singing it over and over.’
‘Oh, it’s a song she wrote. It means, ‘haunted from the future’.
‘From the future?’ I asked.
‘Mara believes in hidden relations between events and people - occult explanations I guess you could say. And she thinks we’ve got three choices when it comes to ghosts. They’re either entities who haunt you because they’re fixated on your house, stuck there, so as to speak... that’s number one. Or they’re repressed moments from your own past come back to get revenge. That’s the one favored by psychologists and nineteenth-century novelists. Or they’re really from the future. You see? That’s what nobody ever seems to consider.’
‘No, I don’t see,’ I said, ‘I don’t get it at all.’
‘Imagine you could go back into the past and help yourself at a difficult moment or someone else.... Wouldn’t you do it?”
‘I suppose!’
‘Well, a ghost is someone from your future come back to help you. A friend. Or maybe even yourself.’
‘That’s crazy’, I said.
(Zimler, 1999, p. 110)

Unlike the narrator in the above, I did not find it at all ‘crazy’ but intriguing. It caused me to review the history of a practice I engage with in therapeutic conversations and how it had arisen in particular circumstances out of a necessity that was the mother of its invention.

My first recollection of devising such a ‘haunting from the future’ was during an urgent consultation at a residential treatment centre called ‘Beal Street’ in Hingham, Massachusetts. I had been invited by Tim Nichols, the then Director, Cheryl Jacques, the then clinical director, and Sallyann Roth, the then consultant to assist with a rash of ‘running aways’ by a group of 12-14-year-old female residents. This intrepid group was hanging out in the mean streets of South Boston. Their audacity mixed with their innocence was deemed to be highly dangerous. (1)

I met with four of the ‘running away’ young women along with the mothers of two of them and all of the staff of Beal Street to co-research their practice of running away.

Recently one of the mothers had taken action to have Sherry, her 12-year-old daughter, incarcerated overnight. This had led to a raging dispute between the two parties. Sherry’s mother had lived on these streets when she had been
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addicted to drugs and was convinced she knew what she was talking about. Her concerns were endorsed by the other mother who had shared similar experiences. Sherry remained standing, glaring at her mother and towering over her seated colleagues. I quickly concluded that most conventional forms of enquiry would be inflammatory. Instead I turned to Lizzie, aged 13, asking her questions to haunt her from the future.

‘Lizzie, when you get older and become a loving mother …’ She looked at me with amazement: ‘Me ... a loving mother!’

‘Yeah, why shouldn’t you become a loving mother of your teenage daughter?’

Her head snapped back and her eyes flashed as if she was envisioning this very prospect. I then went on to ask her what she might have done in Sherry’s mother’s circumstances. She spoke in a particularly considered manner and provided surprisingly sage counsel. Sherry seemed taken aback when Lizzie counseled even more severe measures than her mother had taken.

I then proceeded to ask her other ‘running away’ colleagues very similar questions and there was considerable concurrence. This discussion then ranged back over how these two mothers had acted against guilt to take the actions they had taken and were prepared to withstand the consequences of them.

We continued as an agency to co-research ‘running away’ in the afternoon when Sherry, pushed forward by her colleagues, asked if they might interrupt the discussion. We agreed and Sherry, with some prompting, spoke of her anger at her incarceration but in spite of that, thanked her mother for protecting her wellbeing in this manner. The mothers then thanked the ‘running away’ co-researchers for vindicating their newfound mothering wisdoms.

Searching my archives, I found some more recent examples, which will give some flavor of similar ‘hauntings’.

Garland and Jenny

I met with Garland, a very precocious 13-year-old and her devoted single-parenting mother, Jenny. Apparently, Garland had become desperately concerned that her best girl friend, Alana, had taken a shine to her boyfriend. She was so beside herself that she resolved to settle this matter the best way she knew how – by reading Alana’s diary. To do so, she broke into her home knowing that she and her family were away for the weekend.

However, in doing so, she left behind at item of clothing that was both well known and monogrammed, which Alana’s parents discovered and easily identified. They did not know quite what to do and instead of laying charges, they rang Jenny and told her of Garland’s ‘breaking and entering/home invasion’ but that no property of theirs was missing. They told Jenny that they would like to leave the matter of how to proceed up to her e.g. either to inform the police that Garland was the culprit, or not.

Jenny learned of Garland’s motive for ‘breaking and entering’ when she spoke to her about the dilemma she faced. Garland, of course, pleaded with her not to
disclose her identity to the police and when she saw that her mother remained undecided started to threaten her with dire consequences. Jenny took her own counsel and came to her conclusion to inform the police. They soon arrived and ‘read the riot act’ with Garland who wept with shame and remorse. The police left after deciding not to lay charges, settling the matter with a warning.

But it was not over. Jenny also required Garland to make a formal apology to Alana and her family and that took some doing. And then what followed was without precedent. Garland took to screaming without cease at her mother for her humiliation and what she considered to be her mother’s betrayal of her, especially when the gossip started circulating around her clique. Jenny replied with counter-screaming, detailing both the folly and seriousness of her daughter’s actions. This had been going on for some weeks when we met and it continued unabated into our meeting. I was able to intervene long enough to learn what the screaming was all about but no longer.

In desperation, I chose a ‘haunting from the future’, given that the recent past and present were so vexed. I spoke in a very whimsical tone, one that I imagined a most benevolent ‘ghost’ might employ rather than that of an interrogating police officer. I tried to make my words come from ‘far away’ into the present. I chose my time carefully. As it turned out, Jenny had been raised on the same street as my office and she and Garland lived only four blocks away. So I had no doubt they would know the famous coffee shop directly across the road called ‘Edge City’.

‘Garland, say you were in your 30s, with a daughter of your own, and you had been missing your mum. You thought it might be fun to meet for coffee at Edge City, a place you remembered so well which you had recently driven by and had noted that it was still going after all these years. You remember shaking your head and marveling at that. Jenny, as always you welcomed Garland’s phone call but were even more delighted by the prospect of ‘catching up’ at Edge City. It brought back so many memories for you. You agreed upon a suitable time.’

‘Both of you couldn’t wait to see each other again, thinking it had just been too long. When you met, you both resolved that you wouldn’t let so much time go by again without catching up. You greeted each other with your usual warm hug, ordered a cappuccino, which was up to standard, and immediately got down to the business of talking. To this day, when you speak together, such conversations had echoes of those wonderful times together when you, Jenny, single-parented Garland and you Garland were growing up into the woman you have become. And Garland, at such times, you could recall how all your girl-friends thought you had such a ‘cool’ mother, one whom you could share everything with and who was as much best friend as mother.’

‘Jenny, after you both ‘caught’ each other up, a thought came to your mind when you chanced to look across to where the Family Therapy Centre had once been. You asked Garland pointing in this direction: ‘Darling, do you remember when you were thirteen and we went to that guy over there?’ Garland, you turned, looking in the same direction and felt somewhat discomforted remembering
what had occasioned that meeting. ‘Of course I do! How could I ever forget that?’
Jenny, you wanted to press the matter: ‘Well, I have wanted to ask you something
for a very long time. I have gone over that matter of informing the police time and
time again in my mind and I can never satisfy myself if I did the right thing or the
wrong thing? What would you have done if you were me?’
Garland, ‘haunted by the future’ looked towards Judy who by now was
withdrawing tissues from her purse to staunch her tears. She took her mother’s
hands in hers and now spoke in the tone of a mother comforting a distressed child.
‘No, mum, you did the right thing telling on me ... I know I was so mad at you
and screamed at you for weeks. I even hated you for a while but I guess some of
that was because I knew you were right. I thought about how I would have felt if it
(the home invasion) had happened to me. I was even glad the Police yelled at me.
I just didn’t now how serious that was’.
What followed was quite unlike those interactions that preceded it. We all had
been literally ‘haunted’.
Let me proceed to another example before summarising:

**Donna and Jimmy**
I was consulting to a family therapy intern (Christine), her supervisor, and a
single parent, Donna, aged 22 and her seven-year-old son, Jimmy. Jimmy, by
this age, seemed to have done everything wrong anyone his age could have
conceivably done wrong. For me, it predicted a great deal of intelligence on his
part to have been quite so dedicated in his offending. However he had been
lodged in the most ‘special school’ in his school district with many security
measures and Donna had been informed that it was highly unlikely that he would
ever be able to return to ‘mainstream’ education.

In discussion, I soon learned that Donna had said ‘no’ to Jimmy for the first time
a week or two ago.

**DE:** What is your understanding of how Jimmy developed an immunity to ‘no’...
and how is it that he can stand it?

**D:** I think that he is learning there are no options. That a ‘no’ means ‘no’!

**DE:** Before, did a ‘no’ have a lot of ‘yes’ in it?

**D:** A ‘no’ had a lot of ‘yes’ in it or ‘maybe’s’ in them!

**DE:** Does this reflect on your confidence or your wisdom that your ‘no-s’ have
fewer ‘yes-es’ or ‘maybe-s’ in them?

**D:** This is very new for me because I am having to use ‘no’ as a sentence. If I
don’t, it escalates. I’m now staying calm.

What followed was a very detailed set of enquiries to firstly establish the order
of significance of such a departure from their usual way of relating and secondly
for some account of why Donna was taking such a radical initiative. Near the end
of this meeting we proceeded to be ‘haunted by the future’.
DE: Donna, say Jimmy is forming a heterosexual relationship when he is about 20 and it is getting serious. And you and his partner go out together and she says to you: 'I want to thank you for your son! Thank you for what you have done for your son!' What do you think she will thank you for?

D: That’s kind of a hard one.

DE: I know... I’m just wondering. What do you think? Woman-to-woman over a coffee and you feel kind of close to her. And their relationship is getting serious. And she says to you: ‘Donna or whatever she calls you...’

D: Whatever she calls me....

DE: And she says to you: ‘Look, your son is a bit different from a lot of young men I have met in my life and obviously it has something to do with you and your relationship. Because I see it as somewhat different from a lot of other relationships between men and women.’ What do you think she will say to you? What do you think she will thank you for?

D: For my conception of him or my ideal of Jimmy ... he would have respect for himself and others. He would respect her on all levels – on a friendship base, on a romantic base. He will be very respectful to her. Maybe she will thank me for his treating her very respectfully and treating her well. Not mean to her but kind to her.

DE: Will you tell her about today? ‘Look, it could have gone a different direction but I met my partner, Jack. I met my therapist, Christine. And I met myself – my own cleverness and wisdom. But it could have gone another way.’

D: I would ask her: ‘Do you want to know what we went through?’...

DE: (speaking as Donna and presuming the answer has been a ‘yes’) I could tell you when he was seven. He tantrummed all the time.

D: (takes over from me) I will tell her everything. I keep all of Jimmy’s stuff. He wanted to throw all his paperwork away. ‘I’m not throwing that stuff away. We keep that. I really want to keep all your papers for when you get married some time. I can give them to you then.’

Donna then went on to tell her about how the events we had been discussing had changed her son’s life, her life and their mother-son relationship.

Let me summarise. A ‘haunting from the future’ is most poignant when the present is particularly vexatious or where parties are passionately committed to their respective position which requires each to either defend it or attack the rectitude of the other and where to relent or even hesitate would risk loss of face.

**Aspects of instigating a ‘haunting from the future’ by friendly ghosts**

There are six key aspects to instigating these ‘hauntings from the future’.

Firstly, I (DE) abstract myself as best I can from the ambience and its overriding mood of conflict, despair or a sense of impossibility. I do so by reminding myself of the reasons I have for respecting each and every one of the participants and the
stories that have endeared them to me. Here I will try to relate myself to them, if I haven’t already, to some of their values, beliefs, moral purposes or moral endeavors. Unless I can convince myself of the reasons for my respect of the other, I will not be able to transport myself through time to such a ‘future’.

Secondly, I imagine what could be enacted some time in the future if their circumstances allowed for some sort of transformation. I may have to search my catalogue of histories of epiphanic circumstances from my clinical practice, my own life, and stories I have heard from friends and others. Canvassing the literature I have read is one of my most likely sources. However, it is important that such conjectural circumstances are in the realm of benevolent possibility. And for this to be successful, the imagining of the benevolent future depends on the unearthing of a benevolent past. It would very hard, if not impossible, to project oneself into a benevolent future without some knowledge of a benevolent past that had been eclipsed by the current conflict.

Thirdly, I have found that I have to use time generously and add a generation or sometimes two. In Garland and Jenny’s ‘haunting’, I probably added fifteen to twenty years of time and a generation in the sense that Garland was no longer exclusively her mother’s daughter but in my fiction the conjectural mother of her own child. A future that ‘haunts’ has to be the remote future where the possible has free reign.

Fourthly, Although these introductions must of necessity be succinct, they must pack in a counterplot that has yawning gaps for those ‘haunted’ to fill in with their responses to such searching enquiries. To some extent, these gaps must have an almost irresistible pull into the prospects made possible by the ‘haunting from the future’.

Fifthly, when the person enters the ‘haunted future’, you may need to persist with adjunctive circumstances, e.g. ‘the look on her face tells you she is dying to know your answer to her question’, or ‘she asks you again but this time beseeching you’, adding ‘Please, I have wondered about this for so long!’ to sustain such a ‘haunting’. Enquiries as insistent as these allow for the respondent to ‘live’ into such a future.

And finally, in my explorations of such ‘hauntings’, I have found that if I feel comfortable with the benevolence of my ‘haunting’, others do too. At such times, I find that temporarily holding the ‘best possible’ versions of each and my convictions as to prospects provides a portal for others to pass through as well. On those occasions when I showed any unease, soon all was lost and we returned abruptly to the current conflict with all its vicissitudes.

A family haunting

Another example of therapeutic ‘haunting from the future’ occurred at the Calgary Family Therapy Centre during a family interview in November 2004. Interestingly, the family subsequently initiated a modified version of the process successfully on their own. The five members of the household included Judy, a native of Portugal, and her four daughters, Jocelyn 18, Lillian 17, Eve 15, and Lola 14.
Judy had separated from the father because of his violence and was raising the four children on her own. Lola in particular had become quite rebellious and proved very difficult to manage.

Cherelyn Lakusta began a therapeutic interview with the five family members while Karl Tomm and David Epston observed behind a one-way mirror (with the family's consent). Judy was a very gentle and soft-spoken woman who struggled to express herself in halting English. In contrast, all four girls had acquired an excellent command of the English language and were very quick-witted. In combination, the girls were a formidable team of fast talkers who did not leave much space for Judy to formulate, let alone articulate a response. Cherelyn decided to privilege Judy's voice by addressing the initial series of questions to her and in so doing try to validate her position as head of the household. Judy proceeded to describe her disappointment in the lack of responsibility and respectfulness of her daughters. She gave examples of them not listening to her guidance or following through on commitments. For instance, she had been led to believe that Lola was honestly trying harder to do better in school this year. However, Judy recently received a phone call from the school indicating that Lola had not even been handing in her assignments.

The emotional tone in this interview was heavier and more subdued than in previous sessions. It turned out that there had been a significant conflictual incident just prior to the interview. Jocelyn had agreed to pick up her mother at the dentist at 3pm but didn't show. Judy had to call home to remind her. The mother was quite upset about this because this meant they were going to be late since they still had to pick up the three other young women and try to make it to the appointment. Jocelyn explained that she had not planned to leave the house until 3pm but had not forgotten. As Judy continued to elaborate on her concerns, her daughters became increasingly defensive and began to protest that their mother's decisions about discipline were unreasonable and/or unwarranted. Eve complained that Judy did not give an adequate explanation for her disciplinary decisions, etc. Lola smiled nervously.

Using a telephone, Karl and David called in with a few suggestions to try to facilitate a shift from critical transactions to more affirming ones. In particular, they suggested Cherelyn ask the sisters what it was that they respected about their mother and some reasons why they could respect her decisions. They had difficulty with this, perhaps because it demanded too big a change in their immediate feelings toward their mother. David and Karl then suggested that they instead try to explain to them, as observers behind the screen, what they could legitimately respect about their mother. This seemed easier and they readily came up with several qualities of their mother that should command David and Karl's respect. These included her personal strength, that she was always there for her children, that she always put them first, and that she was very forgiving. Judy seemed to become tearful in hearing what her daughters were now saying but it didn't last long. The process quickly shifted back to the daughters teaming up to denounce their mother's parenting efforts.
It was at this point in the interview that David proposed an intervention of activating a ‘friendly ghost from the future to haunt the girls in the present’. Karl used the telephone again to call in the idea, but Cherelyn decided to come behind the screen to discuss the suggestion more fully. Given its complexity, she preferred to have David deliver the message. Cherelyn then asked the family if David and Karl could join the interview and they agreed.

After the usual greetings, David began as follows:

‘Jocelyn, let’s imagine you were in your 30s or 40s and you had children of your own. Hopefully you had at least one daughter, and she was about the age that you and your sisters are now. Suppose you were finding that raising a teenager wasn’t sheer bliss and this caused you to reflect on your mother raising you at about the same age. And when you thought about it, you felt this pang of regret about what you put your mother through back then. Indeed, you felt it so strongly that you phoned Lillian and told her about it. As strange as this may sound, Lillian, you told Jocelyn that you had been thinking the very same things about your daughter and your mother! You then decided that each of you would take this matter up by phone with Eve and Lola who both said that your mother had been on their minds of late as well! As a result you all decided to convene at Lillian’s home and talk about it over coffee. … When you got there, I think it was you, Lola, who said in jest ‘You know, we ought to hold a party in Mom’s honour!’ Lola, you were quite surprised when everyone enthusiastically agreed with you: ‘Yeah, that’s what we should do!’ But after a while, Jocelyn, you asked: ‘But what will we do at the party?’ Eve, I think it was you who came up with the idea of a wonderful Portuguese meal. Lillian, you spoke up, not to disagree but to raise a question: ‘Hey, we always have meals together. That in itself won’t make it special for Mom. Isn’t there something that could make it more special?’ Then it was you again, Lola, perhaps in jest, saying: ‘Why don’t we all write her speeches and read them aloud to her to honour her.’ Once again everyone jumped on the idea!’

‘So here is a little task. Can you take these four pads and pens (we had brought 4 pads and pens with us into the meeting) and write your speeches right now as you might have made them up then? And when you have finished, we can decide when and where you might like to read them to your mother? … Judy, do you have the patience to wait a few minutes extra for your daughters to write their speeches?’

‘Yes, of course.’

All four girls seemed quite amused by this hypothetical situation but took the task very seriously and began writing furiously. Karl added a few suggestions as they were writing: ‘You each have probably had some special moments with your mother during those early years that stand out for you, and that you might want to share. Indeed, your sisters might appreciate hearing about them as well. You could even include some comments from people outside the family who have told you stories about your mother that are worth remembering.’

Actually, the girls didn’t really need any guidance with their task; they continued to write without interruption. Jocelyn was the first to finish, Eve was second
and Lola was third. Lillian, however, kept writing and writing. David invited them to practice delivering their speeches right in the session even though he acknowledged they might not be altogether finished or have their final draft yet. Without hesitation, Jocelyn as the eldest began giving a speech from her point-form notes and did so with considerable poise.

**Jocelyn’s point-form notes:**

*When I was a kid I didn’t understand why you waited up all night for us.*  
*When I liked a guy you knew wasn’t right for me and I got angry for you saying so.*  
*You were loyal, loving, understanding.*  
*When you and I went shopping for my grad dress and you knew how much I wanted it.*  
*The way you treated dad, always did the best you could under the circumstances.*  
*Listened and gave the best advice you thought possible from experience.*  
*You always picked us up anywhere, anytime.*  
*Friends told me how great you were.*

Copious tears began to flow down Judy’s face. She clearly was deeply moved. David and Karl began to weep as well. Lola appeared quite excited to deliver her speech next:

**Dear Mama,**

I wanted to thank you and I’m not just saying thank you for being my mom. I now know what it is like to be a mother and I can honestly say it’s not as easy as I thought it would be. I always thought of you as a person I would hope to some day become; you were always there for me from the beginning and we didn’t have the greatest life with the divorce and all the fighting. But somehow we made it through when I never thought we would. There was this one time I have remembered all my life. It was when I was in kindergarten and I was learning how to spell my name and I needed your help but you had to do all the housework and I remember you were washing the dishes while teaching me a song on how to spell my name. It is not the fact that you taught me my name, it is that you stuck with me and taught me a lesson to go with my word and still did the things that had to be done. I just wanted to say I love you and thank you. You really don’t understand how much you have guided me through life.

Love Lola

Lillian finally finished writing after Lola had completed her speech. However, Eve wanted to go next:

**Mom,**

I want to thank you for always being there for me since I was a little girl and to this day. The other day as I put my daughter to bed I sat there and I looked at her and thought to myself, I hope I am able to be the mom to her that you were to me. You motivated me to become a better person. I know it wasn’t easy to raise Jocelyn, Lillian and Lola. But you were always there, when times were good but also when times were
at their worst. You loved and cared for me and always told me to do my best. The only thing I regret is that as a teenager I wasn’t more understanding, and I wasn’t always there when you needed me. Growing up, I always looked up to you and hoped that one day I could repay you for all you have done for me and I guess that in some way today is that day. I want you to know that now it is my turn to love you and tell you how much I care. I want you to know that I love you and appreciate you so much. Thank you for being my mom, my friend and my guardian angel.

Love Eve

And finally Lillian delivered her speech:

Mom,

Looking back at when I was a child, I often wondered why you would ask me to do the things that you needed to be done. Why I had to clean or why I couldn’t go out. Then there were those times when you’d tell us stories about you as a child, how you always obeyed your mother, and how you and your sisters never fought. Then you’d go on to speak of your ‘life experiences’ and all I could only say was: ‘how lame’. Now as I look at my own children, I think that they must think that of me. I’m glad they think this because I always thought you were so special. I admired every minute that we could sit there and you’d speak and tell me stories and I would say, how did I get so lucky, and at night when we got home from a late night and you would be sitting on the couch and you would welcome us home, although I could tell you were so tired and you needed your rest. Then you’d stay up with us to hear our silly and pointless stories.

I can also remember speaking to my friends after they had met you and they would say: ‘Your mom is so cute...I love her’. I guess I always perceived our relationship as different. Your heart controls your mind and your spirit is always present. You have always gone to extremes for your family. I value your character, you always look up and you always put a smile on your face. I loved going for a quick coffee after the chiropractor and I loved your advice. I love you so dearly and I will always cherish you, not only as my mother but also as my best friend and my role model.

Love Lillian

All the speeches were very touching, not only in their content but in the tone in which they were delivered. Indeed, the emotional tone of the interview had been transformed. The pattern of family interaction had shifted, from pervasive negativity with incessant complaints and bickering, to strong positive feelings of appreciation and affection. As therapists we were surprised and in awe with how readily and effortlessly the daughters were able to write and deliver such articulate speeches in recognition of their mother. It was almost as if they were eulogising her in her presence. What became so palpable were the strong bonds they had with their mother and the high regard in which they held her. The session ended with the following question for the four young women: ‘How could
you use your newfound wisdom from the future to empower yourself to make things better for you and your Mom at home now?'

A month later Cherelyn and Karl met with Judy and the three younger daughters in a follow-up session (the eldest was working). Everyone agreed that there had been a significant positive shift at home following the last session. All of them had become more respectful and responsive to their mother’s requests to help out with chores, etc. At the same time, however, they felt that they were at risk of slipping back into old habits of bickering. Karl suggested the possibility of developing a ritual of a ‘friendly future family fest’ perhaps with a different person as the focus each time. The young women felt they wanted their mother to remain the focus.

Another six weeks later several family members arrived with speeches they had decided on their own to give to Eve, who had gone through a difficult time, and other family members saw as having made the biggest positive changes. Judy herself joined in to read the following:

Eve is a very good daughter. I remember when she was a little girl, one day she told me ‘Mom I’m very sorry because I can’t help you with work, but when I grow up I will help you.’ And she did. Of course not every day, but when she’s in a good mood. Eve had a bad attitude but I can see a difference, now she is trying very hard to change. I love her and I appreciate her very much.

Thank you, love, Mom

It seemed as though the culture of the family had changed. They now consciously and deliberately focused on positive aspects of their lives. Even in subsequent sessions, as other problematic issues arose, the disposition to affirm one another continued as a strong ongoing theme.

Some notes on inventions that solicit and cultivate the imagination

For me (DE), inventions like ‘the haunting of the future’ almost always emerge in the ‘heat’ of a meeting.(2) What at other times or circumstances might seem audacious, are warranted by the circumstances. Here, in each instance, I was faced with an intractable conflict between young people and their parents that threatened to rupture their relationships.

What has always intrigued me is the solicitation and cultivation of the imagination ‘when the going gets tough.’ After all, the tendency at such times is to reiterate the known and ‘tried and true.’ It has often been my experience of practice to meet individuals and families when the ‘tried and true’ has truly been tried without any apparent ‘truth.’ To solicit and cultivate your imagination as well as the imaginations of those whom have consulted you with dire concerns, requires some conviction that such a ‘site of enquiry’ may lead to something or someplace hitherto unknown. In the ‘history’ of the examples above, and other times I have employed such ‘hauntings’ in equally fraught situations, we have...
gained tremendous advantage in reaching such an imagined vantage point in which the comments on the present from the future reveal the ‘wisdom of hindsight’.

What has caught my eye (and my interest) are two matters: Firstly, in the case of young people, how prudential their commentaries are. And secondly, when undertaken with the discretion suggested in the above, how enduring these ‘hauntings’ can be. The imagined future seems to infuse the present with new meanings.

I have reached no conclusions about this, although I am not without speculations. My speculations have to do with the very pleasures of keeping company with our imaginations, especially when they are brought into some sort of imaginative community, if only for a matter of minutes. After all, Collins Concise English Dictionary cites as its third meaning of ‘imagination’: ‘The ability to deal resourcefully with unexpected or unusual problems, circumstances, etc.’
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Footnotes

1. Some years later, I was interviewing John in San Rafael, California with my colleague Dr Joel Fay, Community Mental Health Liaison, San Rafael Police. John had been homeless for the previous twenty years following his first psychotic episode in his early twenties and had agreed to discuss his life for the purpose of the ‘training’ of the policemen/women of California in a mandatory training relating to ‘the homeless’. In the course of the interview, he related how notorious the streets of South Boston were and considered them as dangerous as Vietnam battlefields.

2. In this respect, they are somewhat unlike some set piece enquiries that are future-orientated, at the same time as sharing some similarities. See McAdam (2001).
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